

November 26, 2024

# **Stormwater Management Report**

Highlands at Hopkins Hill Phases 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1M, 1N Assessors Plat 13, Lot 22

# **Prepared For:**

D2 Homes, Inc. 420 Scrabbletown Road, Suite G North Kingstown, Rhode Island 02852

# **Revised on:**

January 10, 2024







The purpose of this stormwater management report is to provide water quality for the proposed project Highlands at Hopkins Hill, Phases 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1M, 1N located off Dante Boulevard at the Center of New England in Coventry Rhode Island. The site is located south of Stephanie Drive and West of Dante Boulevard. The project site exists today as completely disturbed, partially constructed residential property. Existing infrastructure was constructed including drainage ponds, drainage structures and lines and sewer structures and lines. The applicant proposed to construct 66 residential units and roadways. The proposed development will be consistent with the original design by John P. Caito Corporation.

Per Case Number KC-2024-0766 the proposed development is required to demonstrate that the watersheds and impervious areas for the project generally matches the watersheds and impervious areas from the 2007-0381 application to RIDEM, the existing detention ponds are considered the existing conditions and considered adequate for runoff control and no further analysis design or construction will be required by RIDEM to satisfy their requirements for peak runoff control. The requirements to do a pre and post analysis and to meet the recharge standards are waived for this project, lastly, the design will be modified to achieve Minimum Standard 3. The case document can be found in Appendix B.

## Watersheds and Impervious Areas Generally Match:

The charts below compare the Catio Design to the current DiPrete Layout for the proposed homes and roadways. Values from the Catio Design were obtained from "Amendment to the Drainage Report for Centre of New England Phase 1 Condominium Complex" Coventry/West Greenwich, Rhode Island, Prepared for Universal Properties Group, Inc, Amended September 18, 2007, Revised October 10, 2008. DiPrete Engineering reviewed the watersheds to each basin, including offsite areas. The proposed design focused on maintaining the existing watersheds to each basin to the greatest extent possible.

Watershed	6G*	5	5A	7*	11	12
Catio Design	0.835	1.760	12.041	2.338	4.065	4.825
DiPrete Layout	0.613	1.662	13.214	2.342	3.414	2.207
Difference	-0.222	-0.098	1.173	0.004	-0.651	-2.618

Table 1 – Watershed Area Comparison (all values in Acres)

Table 2 – Impervious	Area Comparison	(all values in Acres)
----------------------	-----------------	-----------------------

Watershed	6G*	5	5A	7*	11	12
Catio Design	0.328	1.144	1.784	0.815	1.774	0.694
DiPrete Layout	0.257	0.671	3.568	0.879	1.212	0.647
Difference	-0.071	-0.473	1.784	0.064	-0.562	-0.047

#### Table 3 – CN Comparison

Watershed	6G*	5	5A	7*	11	12
Catio Design	62	79	63	59	69	61
DiPrete Layout	67	63	53	61	60	57
Difference	5	-16	-10	2	-9	-4



\*Note areas for watersheds 6G and 7 are based on the site contributing areas to the watersheds. Each of these watersheds are part of a larger offsite watershed that discharges to various locations within Centre of New England Phase 1. DiPrete Engineering used watershed maps within the Catio Corporation drainage report to obtain areas and impervious areas for comparison.

Watersheds 6G, 5, 11 and 12 all decrease total area and impervious. Watersheds 5A and 7 both have changes to total area and impervious area. Most of this change is due to the offsite area to the west of the property. DiPrete Engineering reviewed drainage from this neighborhood, including a site investigation to determine the watershed. DiPrete Engineering reviewed all watersheds within HydroCAD for the 10 and 100 year storms. See tables below.

Watershed	10-yr Peak Flow		100-yr Peak Flow	
	Catio	DE	Catio	DE
6G	1.17	1.15	4.00	3.38
5	4.45	1.95	10.27	6.51
5A	10.86	5.49	35.95	28.55
7	2.66	3.08	10.15	10.88
11	5.68	2.65	16.06	9.73
12	6.34	1.55	22.41	6.52

## Table 4 – Flow Comparison (CFS)

## Table 5 – Volume Comparison (acre feet)

Watershed	10-yr \	/olume	100-yr P	eak Flow
	Catio	DE	Catio	DE
6G	0.092	0.085	0.286	0.240
5	0.386	0.192	0.904	0.585
5A	1.388	0.848	4.241	3.345
7	0.219	0.244	0.73	0.778
11	0.614	0.337	1.677	1.100
12	0.503	0.184	1.603	0.645

Any change in volume/cfs within all of the watersheds is insignificant and generally matches the original design by Catio Corporation. Excerpts from the Catio Corporation drainage report can be found in appendix C.1. HydroCAD printouts for the Catio Corporation design and the DiPrete Engineering layout can be found in Appendix C.2 and C.3 respectively. Watershed Maps from the Catio Corporation design and the DiPrete Engineering Design can be found in Appendix E.1 and E.2 respectively.



#### **Minimum Standard 3**

The original site design by Catio Corporation was based on the 1993 Rhode Island Stormwater Manual and used extended detention basins to achieve water quality. This practice is no longer an approved method for water quality treatment. DiPrete Engineering performed soil evaluations and infiltrometer testing at each of the basin locations. Test hole logs can be found in Appendix D.

Basin	Test Hole	Basin Bottom Elev	Groundwater Elev	Separation (ft)
5	24-9	272.5	266.5	6.0
5A	24-8	271.5	269.5	2.0
5A	24-7	273.0	270.0	3.0
5A	24-6	275.0	270.4	4.6
11	24-4	276.5	272.6	3.9
12	24-3	281.0	276.9	4.1

The basins have sufficient separation to groundwater to be used for water quality treatment. The infiltrometer testing showed rates from 16.0 in/hr to 37.6 in/hr. These rates exceed the maximum rate of 8.3 in/hr allowed for water quality treatment.

To provide water quality treatment, the Jellyfish filter will be used. The Jellyfish is on RIDEM's approved proprietary water quality BMPS list. The Jellyfish has been sized per the RIDEM Certification:

Basin	WQ Flow (cfs)	Jellyfish Filter	Jellyfish Name	Jellyfish WQ Flow
				Capacity (cfs)
5	0.59	JFPD0406-4-1	JF5	0.60
5A	2.50/1.25*	JFPD0806-9-2	JF5A	1.34
11	0.85	JFPD0806-6-2	JF11	0.94
12	0.53	JFPD0406-4-1	JF12	0.60
300	0.96	JFPD0806-7-2	JF7	1.07

\*Watershed 5A contains a large offsite watershed including impervious area from nearby houses, driveways, Bestwick Trail and Minda Lane. The Jellyfish has been sized for the impervious area associated with the proposed development only.

Water quality for watershed 600 is handled by capturing additional impervious area within the Jellyfish for Basin 5A and watershed 300. Watershed 600 could not be captured within the proposed drainage system and discharges to the drainage network in Stephanie Drive. Watershed 600 contains 0.196 of impervious area associated with the proposed development. JellyFish 5A provides additional treatment of 0.098 acres and JellyFish 7 provides additional treatment of 0.098 acres. Note both JellyFish 5A and JellyFish 7 have additional WQ flow capacity approximately equal to 0.22 acres of impervious. The site exceeds minimum water quality requirements.

Water Quality HydroCAD Storm Analysis can be found in Appendix C.4.



## Conclusion

The proposed design is consistent with the original design by Catio Corporation. The resultant watersheds, areas, and impervious areas are consistent with the design by Catio Corporation. Minimum Standard 3 has been achieved by providing water quality to each of the Basins through the use of Jellyfish Filters. The proposed design is in compliance Case Number KC-2024-0766.



Appendix A – RIDEM Appendix A Checklist

# <u>APPENDIX A</u>: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN CHECKLIST AND LID PLANNING REPORT – STORMWATER DESIGN SUMMARY

PROJECT NAME	(RIDEM USE ONLY)
Highlands at Hopkins Hill, Phases 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1M, 1N	
TOWN	STW/WQC File #:
Coventry	
BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Date Received:
Construction of 66 residential units and associated roadway on a previously	
disturbed and partially constructed site.	

# **Stormwater Management Plan (SMP) Elements – Minimum Standards**

When submitting a SMP,<sup>1</sup> submit <u>four separately bound</u> documents: Appendix A Checklist; Stormwater Site Planning, Analysis and Design Report with Plan Set/Drawings; Soil Erosion and Sediment Control (SESC) Plan, and Post Construction Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan. Please refer to <u>Suggestions to Promote Brevity</u>.

<u>Note</u>: All stormwater construction projects <u>must create</u> a Stormwater Management Plan (SMP). However, not every element listed below is required per the <u>RIDEM Stormwater Rules</u> and the <u>RIPDES Construction General Permit (CGP)</u>. This checklist will help identify the required elements to be submitted with an Application for Stormwater Construction Permit & Water Quality Certification.

PART 1. PROJECT AND SITE INFORMATION						
PROJECT TYPE (Chec	PROJECT TYPE (Check all that apply)					
⊠ Residential	□ Commercial	□ Federal	□ Retrofit	□ Restoration		
□ Road □ Utility □ Fill □ Dredge □ Mine						

 $\Box$  Other (specify):

## SITE INFORMATION

⊠ Vicinity Map

**<u>INITIAL DISCHARGE LOCATION(S)</u>**: The WQv discharges to: (You may choose more than one answer if several discharge points are associated with the project.)

🛛 Groundwater	⊠ Surface Water	🖾 MS4
🗆 GAA	□ Isolated Wetland	□ RIDOT
🖾 GA	□ Named Waterbody	□ RIDOT Alteration Permit is Approved
□ GB	□ Unnamed Waterbody Connected to Named	□ Town
	Waterbody	□ Other (specify): Private Drainage
		System

ULTIMATE RECEIVING WATERBODY LOCATION(S): Include pertinent information that applies to both WQ<sub>v</sub> and flow from larger storm events including overflows. Choose all that apply, and repeat table for each waterbody.

Groundwater of Disconnected wetland			
□ Waterbody Name:	□ Coldwater □ Warmwater ⊠ Unassessed		
☑ Waterbody ID: <i>Tributary to Tiogue Lake RI0006014R-05</i>	$\Box$ 4 <sup>th</sup> order stream of pond 50 acres or more		
☑ TMDL for: <i>Enterococcus</i>	□ Watershed of flood prone river (e.g., Pocasset River)		
□ Contributes to a priority outfall listed in the TMDL	□ Contributes stormwater to a public beach		
$\boxtimes$ 303(d) list – Impairment(s) for: <i>Enterococcus</i>	Contributes to shellfishing grounds		

APPENDIX A: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN CHECKLIST Updated 09/2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applications for a Construction General Permit that do not require any other permits from RIDEM and will disturb less than 5 acres over the entire course of the project do not need to submit a SMP. The Appendix A checklist must still be submitted.

# Stormwater Management, Design, and Installation Rules (250-RICR-150-10-8)

PROJECT HISTORY Case Number KC-2024-0766					
□ RIDEM Pre- Application Meeting Meeting Date: □ Minutes Attac					
Municipal Master Plan Approval	Approval Date:	□ Minutes Attached			
□ Subdivision Suitability Required	Approval #:				
□ Previous Enforcement Action has been taken on the property	Enforcement #:				
FLOODPLAIN & FLOODWAY See Guidance Pertaining to Floo	dplain and Floodways				
□ Riverine 100-year floodplain: FEMA FLOODPLAIN FIRME	<b><u>TTE</u></b> has been reviewed and the 100-year	ar floodplain is on site			
☑ Delineated from FEMA Maps					
<u>NOTE</u> : Per Rule 250-RICR-150-10-8-1.1(B)(5)(d)(3), provide volumetric floodplain compensation calculations for cut and fill/displacement calculated by gualified professional					
□ Calculated by Professional Engineer					
□ Calculations are provided for cut vs. fill/displacement volumes	Amount of Fill (CY):				
proposed within the 100-year floodplain	Amount of Cut (CY):				
□ Restrictions or modifications are proposed to the flow path or velocities in a floodway					
Floodplain storage capacity is impacted					
$\boxtimes$ Project area is not within 100-year floodplain as defined by RID	Project area is not within 100-year floodplain as defined by RIDEM				

#### **CRMC JURISDICTION**

□ CRMC Assent required

- □ Property subject to a Special Area Management Plan (SAMP). If so, specify which SAMP:
- □ Sea level rise mitigation has been designed into this project

#### LUHPPL IDENTIFICATION - MINIMUM STANDARD 8: N/A **OFFICE OF Land Revitalization and Sustainable Materials Management (OLRSMM)** 1. □ Known or suspected releases of HAZARDOUS MATERIAL are present at the site **RIDEM CONTACT:** (Hazardous Material is defined in Rule 1.4(A)(33) of 250-140-30-1 of the RIDEM Rules and Regulations for Investigation and Remediation of Hazardous Materials (the Remediation Regulations)) Known or suspected releases of PETROLEUM PRODUCT are present at the site (Petroleum Product as defined in Rule 1.5(A)(84) of 250-140-25-1 of the RIDEM Rules and Regulations for Underground Storage Facilities Used for Regulated Substances and Hazardous Materials) SITE ID#: This site is identified on the <u>RIDEM Environmental Resources Map</u> as one of the following regulated facilities □ CERCLIS/Superfund (NPL) □ State Hazardous Waste Site (SHWS) □ Environmental Land Usage Restriction (ELUR) □ Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) □ Closed Landfill If any boxes in 1 above are checked, the applicant must contact the RIDEM OLRSMM Project Manager associated with the Note: Site to determine if subsurface infiltration of stormwater is allowable for the project. Indicate if the infiltration corresponds to "Red," "Yellow" or "Green" as described in Section 3.2.8 of the RISDISM Guidance (Subsurface Contamination Guidance). Also, note and reference approval in PART 3, Minimum Standard 2: Groundwater Recharge/Infiltration. 2. PER MINIMUM STANDARD 8 of RICR 8.14.C.1-6 "LUHPPLS," THE SITE IS/HAS: □ Industrial Site with RIPDES MSGP, except where No Exposure Certification exists. http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/water/permits/ripdes/stormwater/status.php □ Auto Fueling Facility (e.g., gas station) □ Exterior Vehicles Service, Maintenance, or Equipment Cleaning Area

	□ Road Salt Storage and Loading Areas (exposed to rainwater)	
	Outdoor Storage and Loading/Unloading of Hazardous Substances	
3.	STORMWATER INDUSTRIAL PERMITTING	
	The site is associated with existing or proposed activities that are considered Land	Activities:
	Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLS) (see RICR 8.14.C)	Sector:
	Construction is proposed on a site that is subject to <u>THE MULTI-SECTOR</u>	MSGP permit #
	GENERAL PERMIT (MSGP) UNDER RULE 31(B)15 OF THE RIPDES	
	<u>REGULATIONS.</u>	
	Additional stormwater treatment is required by the MSGP	
	Explain:	

REDEVELOPMENT STANDARD – MINIMUM STANDARD 6 N/A				
Pre Construction Impervious Area				
□ Total Pre-Construction Impervious Area (TIA)	□ Total Pre-Construction Impervious Area (TIA)			
□ Total Site Area (TSA)	□ Total Site Area (TSA)			
□ Jurisdictional Wetlands ( <b>JW</b> )	□ Jurisdictional Wetlands ( <b>JW</b> )			
Conservation Land (CL)				
Calculate the Site Size (defined as contiguous properties under sar	ne ownership)			
$\Box$ Site Size (SS) = (TSA) – (JW) – (CL)				
$\Box$ (TIA) / (SS) =	$\Box (\text{TIA}) / (\text{SS}) > 0.4?$			
VES Redevelopment				

# PART 2. LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT – MINIMUM STANDARD 1 (NOT REQUIRED FOR REDEVELOPMENT OR RETROFITS) This section may be deleted if not required.

**Note:** A written description must be provided specifying why each method is not being used or is not applicable at the Site. Appropriate answers may include:

- Town requires ... (state the specific local requirement)
- Meets Town's dimensional requirement of ...
- Not practical for site because ...
- Applying for waiver/variance to achieve this (pending/approved/denied)
- Applying for wavier/variance to seek relief from this (pending/approved/denied)

A)	PR	ESERVATION OF UNDISTURBED AREAS, BUFFERS, AND FLOODPLAINS	IF NOT implemented
	$\boxtimes$	Sensitive resource areas and site constraints are identified (required)	EXPLAIN HERE
	$\boxtimes$	Local development regulations have been reviewed (required)	
	$\boxtimes$	All vegetated buffers and coastal and freshwater wetlands will be protected during and after	Site exists today as
		construction	completely disturbed and
		Conservation Development or another site design technique has been incorporated to protect	partially constructed. Site
		open space and pre-development hydrology. <u>Note</u> : If Conservation Development has been	is a former gravel pit.
		used, check box and skip to Subpart C	
		As much natural vegetation and pre-development hydrology as possible has been maintained	

<i>B)</i>	LO NA	CATE DEVELOPMENT IN LESS SENSITIVE AREAS AND WORK WITH THE TURAL LANDSCAPE CONDITIONS, HYDROLOGY, AND SOILS	
	$\boxtimes$	Development sites and building envelopes have been appropriately distanced from wetlands	
	$\boxtimes$	Development and stormwater systems have been located in areas with greatest infiltration capacity (e.g., soil groups A and B)	
		Plans show measures to prevent soil compaction in areas designated as Qualified Pervious Areas (OPA's)	
	$\boxtimes$	Development sites and building envelopes have been positioned outside of floodplains Site design positions buildings roadways and parking areas in a manner that avoids impacts	
		to surface water features Development sites and building envelopes have been located to minimize impacts to steen	
		slopes ( $\geq 15\%$ ) Other (describe):	
$\mathbf{C}$	MI	NIMIZE CLEARING AND GRADING	
		Site clearing has been restricted to minimum area needed for building footprints, development	
	$\boxtimes$	activities, construction access, and safety. Site has been designed to position buildings, roadways, and parking areas in a manner that	
		minimizes grading (cut and fill quantities) Protection for stands of trees and individual trees and their root zones to be preserved has	
		been specified, and such protection extends at least to the tree canopy drip line(s) Plan notes specify that public trees removed or damaged during construction shall be replaced	
		with equivalent	
<b>D</b> )	RE	DUCE IMPERVIOUS COVER	Site exists today as
	$\boxtimes$	Reduced roadway widths ( $\leq 22$ feet for ADT $\leq 400$ ; $\leq 26$ feet for ADT 400 - 2,000) Reduced driveway areas (length minimized via reduced ROW width ( $\leq 45$ ft.) and/or reduced (or absolute minimum) front yard setback; width minimized to $\leq 9$ ft. wide one lane; $\leq 18$ ft. wide two lanes; shared driveways; pervious surface) Reduced building footprint: Explain approach:	partially constructed. Site is a former gravel pit.
		Reduced ounding rootprint. Explain approach.	
		Reduced sidewalk area ( $\leq 4$ ft. wide; one side of the street; unpaved path; pervious surface)	
		Reduced cul-de-sacs (radius < 45 ft; vegetated island; alternative turn-around) Reduced parking lot area: Explain approach	
		Use of pervious surfaces for driveways, sidewalks, parking areas/overflow parking areas, etc.	
		Minimized impervious surfaces (project meets or is less than maximum specified by Zoning Ordinance)	
	$\boxtimes$	Other (describe):	
<i>E</i> )	DI	SCONNECT IMPERVIOUS AREA	
		Impervious surfaces have been disconnected, and runoff has been diverted to QPAs to the maximum extent possible	
		Residential street edges allow side-of-the-road drainage into vegetated open swales	
		Parking lot landscaping breaks up impervious expanse AND accepts runoff Other (describe):	
<i>F</i> )	MI	TIGATE RUNOFF AT THE POINT OF GENERATION	
		Small-scale BMPs have been designated to treat runoff as close as possible to the source	

<i>G</i> )	G) PROVIDE LOW-MAINTENANCE NATIVE VEGETATION					
	<ul> <li>Low-maintenance</li> <li>Plantings of native shown on site plan</li> </ul>	landscaping has been proposed using native species and cultivars e trees and shrubs in areas previously cleared of native vegetation are				
	Lawn areas have b maximum extent p	been limited/minimized, and yards have been kept undisturbed to the bracticable on residential lots				
<i>H</i> )	<ul> <li>RESTORE STREAMS</li> <li>Historic drainage p daylighting buried</li> <li>Removal of invasi</li> </ul>	<i>S/WETLANDS</i> patterns have been restored by removing closed drainage systems, streams, and/or restoring degraded stream channels and/or wetlands ve species	N/A			
1	□ Other					

# PART 3. SUMMARY OF REMAINING STANDARDS

GROU	GROUNDWATER RECHARGE – MINIMUM STANDARD 2 N/A Per Case Number KC-2024-0766				
YES	NO				
		The project has been designed to meet the groundwater recharge standard.			
		If "No," the justification for groundwater recharge criterion waiver has been explained in the Narrative (e.g., threat of groundwater contamination or physical limitation), if applicable (see RICR 8.8.D);			
		Your waiver request has been explained in the Narrative, if applicable.			
		Is this site identified as a Regulated Facility in Part 1, Minimum Standard 8: LUHPPL Identification?			
		If "Yes," has approval for infiltration by the OLRSMM Site Project Manager, per Part 1, Minimum Standard 8, been requested?			

TABLE 2-1: Summary of Recharge (see RISDISM Section 3.3.2)         (Add or Subtract Rows as Necessary)						
Design Point	Impervious Area Treated (sq ft)	Total Rev Required (cu ft)	LID Stormwater Credits (see RISDISM Section 4.6.1) Portion of Rev directed to a QPA (cu ft)	Recharge Required by Remaining BMPs (cu ft)	Recharge Provided by BMPs (cu ft)	
DP-1:						
DP-2:						
DP-3:						
DP-4:						
TOTALS:						

Notes:

1. Only BMPs listed in RISDISM Table 3-5 "List of BMPs Acceptable for Recharge" may be used to meet the recharge requirement.

2. Recharge requirement must be satisfied for each waterbody ID.

□ Indicate where the pertinent calculations and/or information for the above items are provided (i.e., name of report/document, page numbers, appendices, etc.):

WATE	R QUA	LITY – MINIMUM STANDARD 3
YES	NO	
$\boxtimes$		Does this project meet or exceed the required water quality volume WQv (see RICR 8.9.E-I)?
$\boxtimes$		Is the proposed final impervious cover greater than 20% of the disturbed area (see RICR 8.9.E-I)?
		If "Yes," either the Modified Curve Number Method or the Split Pervious/Impervious method in Hydro-CAD was used to calculate WQv; or,
$\square$		If "Yes," either TR-55 or TR-20 was used to calculate WQv; and,
		If "No," the project meets the minimum WQv of 0.2 watershed inches over the entire disturbed area.
		Not Applicable
$\boxtimes$		Does this project meet or exceed the ability to treat required water quality flow WQf (see RICR 8.9.I.1-3)?
$\boxtimes$		Does this project propose an increase of impervious cover to a receiving water body with impairments?
		If "Yes," please indicate below the method that was used to address the water quality requirements of no further degradation to a low-quality water.
		Site proposes multiple Jellyfish filters to existing infiltration basins.
	$\boxtimes$	RICR 8.36. A Pollutant Loading Analysis is needed and has been completed.
	$\boxtimes$	The Water Quality Guidance Document (Water Quality Goals and Pollutant Loading Analysis Guidance for
		Discharges to Impaired Waters) has been followed as applicable.
		BMPs are proposed that are on the <u>approved technology list</u> . If "Yes," please provide all required worksheets from the manufacturer.
		Additional pollutant-specific requirements and/or pollutant removal efficiencies are applicable to the site as the result of a TMDL, SAMP, or other watershed-specific requirements. If "Yes," please describe:

TABLE 3-1: Summary of Water Quality (see RICR 8.9)								
Design Point and	Impervious area	Total WQv Required (cu ft)	LID Stormwater Credits (see RICR 8.18)	Water Quality Treatment	Water Quality Provided by BMPs (cu ft)			
WB ID	(sq ft)		WQv directed to a QPA (cu ft)	Remaining (cu ft)				
DP-1:	315,070	26,255	0	26,255	26,255*			
DP-2:								
DP-3:								
DP-4:								
TOTALS:	TOTALS:							
Notes:       1. Only BMPs listed in RICR 8.20 and 8.25 or the Approved Technologies List of BMPs is Acceptable for Water Quality treatment.         2       For each Design Point, the Water Quality Volume Standard must be met for each Waterbody ID.								
□ YES	This project has met	the setback requirem	nents for each BMP.					
□ NO	If "No," please expl	ain:						
$\boxtimes$ Indicate where the pe	ertinent calculations an	nd/or information for	the above items are pro-	ovided (i.e., name of	report/document,			
page numbers, appendices, etc.): Stormwater Report								
*Minimum provided, Jellyfish Filters have additional capacity during the WQ storm. See 'Minimum Standard 3' in the stormwater								
			r.					
APPENDIX A: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN CHECKLIST A-7								

CONV Numbe	CONVEYANCE AND NATURAL CHANNEL PROTECTION (RICR 8.10) – MINIMUM STANDARD 4 N/A Per Case Number KC-2024-0766				
YES	NO				
		Is this standard waived? If "Yes," please indicate one or more of the reasons below:			
		The project directs discharge to a large river (i.e., 4th-order stream or larger. See RISDISM Appendix I for State-wide list and map of stream orders), bodies of water >50.0 acres in surface area (i.e., lakes, ponds, reservoirs), or tidal waters.			
		The project is a small facility with impervious cover of less than or equal to 1 acre.			
		The project has a post-development peak discharge rate from the facility that is less than 2 cfs for the 1- year, 24-hour Type III design storm event (prior to any attenuation). (Note: LID design strategies can greatly reduce the peak discharge rate).			
		Conveyance and natural channel protection for the site have been met.			
		If "No," explain why:			

TABLE 4-1: Summary of Channel Protection Volumes (see RICR 8.10)					
Design Point	Receiving Water Body Name	Coldwater Fishery? (Y/N)	Total CPv Required (cu ft)	Total CPv Provided (cu ft)	Average Release Rate Modeled in the 1-yr storm (cfs)
DP-1:					
DP-2:					
DP-3:					
DP-4:					
TOTALS:					
Note: The Channel	Protection Volume Standard must be met in ea	ich waterbody I	D.	·	·
□ YES □ NO	The CPv is released at roughly a uniform rate Appendix D of the RISDISM).	e over a 24-hour	r duration (see ex	amples of sizing	calculations in
Image: Note of the end o					
<ul> <li>Indicate below where the pertinent calculations and/or information for the above items are provided (i.e., name of report/document, page numbers, appendices, etc.).</li> </ul>					

OVEF STAN	RBANK DARD	<b>STATES FOR THE STATES OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATES OF A STATES</b>	
YES	NO		
		Is this standard waived? If yes, please indicate one or more of the reasons below:	
		<ul> <li>The project directs discharge to a large river (i.e., 4th-order stream or larger. See Appendix I for state-wide list and map of stream orders), bodies of water &gt;50.0 acres in surface area (i.e., lakes, ponds, reservoirs), or tidal waters.</li> <li>A Downstream Analysis (see RICR 8.11.D and E) indicates that peak discharge control would not be beneficial or would exacerbate peak flows in a downstream tributary of a particular site (e.g., through coincident peaks).</li> </ul>	
		Does the project flow to an MS4 system or subject to other stormwater requirements? If "Yes," indicate as follows:	
		□ RIDOT	
		$\Box \qquad \text{Other (specify):}$	
Note:	The pr volum alread MS4.	oject could be approved by RIDEM but not meet RIDOT or Town standards. RIDOT's regulations indicate that post- es must be <b>less</b> than pre-volumes for the 10-yr storm at the design point entering the RIDOT system. If you have not y received approval for the discharge to an MS4, please explain below your strategy to comply with RIDEM and the	
		Indicate below which model was used for your analysis. TR-55 TR-20 HydroCAD Bentley/Haestad Intellisolve	
		$\Box$ Other (Specify):	
YES	NO		
		Does the drainage design demonstrate that flows from the 100-year storm event through a BMP will safely manage and convey the 100-year storm? If "No," please explain briefly below and reference where in the application further documentation can be found (i.e., name of report/document, page numbers, appendices, etc.):	
		Do off-site areas contribute to the sub-watersheds and design points? If "Yes,"	
		Are the areas modeled as "present condition" for both pre- and post-development analysis?	
		Are the off-site areas shown on the subwatershed maps?	
		Does the drainage design confirm safe passage of the 100-year flow through the site for off-site runoff?	
		Is a Downstream Analysis required (see RICR 8.11.E.1)?	
		Calculate the following:	
		☐ Area of disturbance within the sub-watershed (areas)	
		□ Impervious cover (%)	
		Is a dam breach analysis required (earthen embankments over six (6) feet in height, or a capacity of 15 acre-feet or more, and contributes to a significant or high hazard dam)?	
		Does this project meet the overbank flood protection standard?	

Table 5-1 Hydraulic Analysis Summary								
Subwatershed	1.2" Peak Flow (cfs) **		1-yr Peak Flow (cfs)		10-yr Peak Flow (cfs)		100-yr Peak Flow (cfs)	
(Design 1 onit)	Pre (cfs)	Post (cfs)	Pre (cfs)	Post (cfs)	Pre (cfs)	Post (cfs)	Pre (cfs)	Post (cfs)
DP-1:								
DP-2:								
DP-3:								
DP-4:								
TOTALS:								
** Utilize modif <u>Note</u> : The hydraulio wetland or wa	<ul> <li>** Utilize modified curve number method or split pervious /impervious method in HydroCAD.</li> <li><u>Note</u>: The hydraulic analysis must demonstrate no impact to each individual subwatershed DP unless each DP discharges to the same wetland or water resource.</li> </ul>							
Indicate as fo	llows where th the i	ie pertinent ca items above a	alculations and re provided	d/or informati	on for	Name of numb	report/docum ers, appendice	ent, page es, etc.
Existing conditions analysis for each subwatershed, including curve numbers, times of concentration, runoff rates, volumes, and water surface elevations showing methodologies used and supporting calculations								
Proposed conditions analysis for each subwatershed, including curve numbers, times of concentration, runoff rates, volumes, water surface elevations, and routing showing the methodologies used and supporting calculations.								
Final sizing calculations for structural stormwater BMPs, including contributing drainage area, storage, and outlet configuration.								
Stage-storage, inflow and outflow hydrographs for storage facilities (e.g., detention, retention, or infiltration facilities).								

	Table 5-2 Summary of Best Management Practices										
BMP ID	DP #	ВМР Туре	BMP Functions				Bypass Type	Horizontal Setback Criteria are met per RICR 8.21.B.10, 8.22.D.11, and 8.35.B.4			
		(e.g., bioretention, tree filter)	Pre- Treatment (Y/N/ NA)	Rev	WQ <sub>v</sub>	CP <sub>v</sub> (Y/N/ NA)	Overbank Flood Reduction (Y/N/NA)	External (E) Internal (I) or NA	Yes/ No	Technical Justification (Design Report page number)	Distance Provided
JF5	1	WQ JellyFish	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ι	Y	N/A	N/A
JF5A	1	WQ JellyFish	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ι	Y	N/A	N/A
JF11	1	WQ JellyFish	Y	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ι	Y	N/A	N/A
JF12	1	WQ JellyFish	Y	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ι	Y	N/A	N/A
JF7	1	WQ JellyFish	Y	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ι	Y	N/A	N/A
		TOTALS:									

# Stormwater Management, Design, and Installation Rules (250-RICR-150-10-8)

Table 5.3 Summary of Soils to Evaluate Each BMP										
		BMP Type (e.g., bioretention, tree filter)		Soils Analysis for Each BMP						
DP #	BMP ID		Test Pit ID# and Ground Elevation		SHWT	Bottom of Practice	Separation Distance	Hydrologic Soil Group	Exfiltration Rate	
			Primary	Secondary	(ft)	Elevation* (ft)	Provided (ft)	(A, B, C, D)	Applied (in/hr)	
		TOTALS:								

\* For underground infiltration systems (UICs) bottom equals bottom of stone, for surface infiltration basins bottom equals bottom of basin, for filters bottom equals interface of storage and top of filter layer

LANI	LAND USES WITH HIGHER POTENTIAL POLLUTANTS LOADS (LUHPPLs) – MINIMUM STANDARD 8 N/A					
YES	NO	N/A				
			Describe any LUHPPLs identified in Part 1, Minimum Standard 8, Section 2. If not applicable, continue to Minimum Standard 9.			
			Are these activities already covered under an MSGP? If "No," please explain if you have applied for an MSGP or intend to do so?			
			List the specific BMPs that are proposed for this project that receive stormwater from LUHPPL drainage areas. These BMP types must be listed in RISDISM Table 3-3, "Acceptable BMPs for Use at LUHPPLs." Please list BMPs:			
			Additional BMPs, or additional pretreatment BMP's if any, that meet RIPDES MSGP requirements; Please list BMPs:			
			Indicate below where the pertinent calculations and/or information for the above items are provided (i.e., name of report/document, page numbers, appendices, etc.).			

ILLIC	ILLICIT DISCHARGES – MINIMUM STANDARD 9						
Illicit	Illicit discharges are defined as unpermitted discharges to Waters of the State that do not consist entirely of stormwater or						
uncon	lammate	u groui	dwater, except for certain discharges identified in the KIFDES Flase if Stoffiwater General Fernit.				
YES	NO	N/A					
$\square$			Have you checked for illicit discharges?				
	$\boxtimes$		Have any been found and/or corrected? If "Yes," please identify.				
			Does your report explain preventative measures that keep non-stormwater discharges out of the Waters of the State (during and after construction)?				

SOIL	SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL (SESC) – MINIMUM STANDARD 10						
YES	NO	N/A					
$\boxtimes$			Have you included a Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Set and/or Complete Construction Plan Set?				
$\square$			Have you provided a separately-bound document based upon the SESC Template? If yes, proceed to				
			Minimum Standard 11 (the following items can be assumed to be addressed).				
			If "No," include a document with your submittal that addresses the following elements of an SESC Plan:				
			Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Project Narrative, including a description of how the fifteen (15) Performance Criteria have been met:				
			Provide Natural Buffers and Maintain Existing Vegetation				
			□ Minimize Area of Disturbance				
			□ Minimize the Disturbance of Steep Slopes				
			Preserve Topsoil				
			□ Stabilize Soils				
			Protect Storm Drain Inlets				
			Protect Storm Drain Outlets				
			Establish Temporary Controls for the Protection of Post-Construction Stormwater Control Measures				
			Establish Perimeter Controls and Sediment Barriers				
			Divert or Manage Run-On from Up-Gradient Areas				
]			Properly Design Constructed Stormwater Conveyance Channels				
]			Retain Sediment On-Site				
			Control Temporary Increases in Stormwater Velocity, Volume, and Peak Flows				
			Apply Construction Activity Pollution Prevention Control Measures				
			Install, Inspect, and Maintain Control Measures and Take Corrective Actions				
1			Qualified SESC Plan Preparer's Information and Certification				
			Operator's Information and Certification; if not known at the time of application, the Operator must certify the SESC Plan upon selection and prior to initiating site activities				
			Description of Control Measures, such as Temporary Sediment Trapping and Conveyance Practices, including design calculations and supporting documentation, as required				

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN – MINIMUM STANDARDS 7 AND 9

Opera	Operation and Maintenance Section					
YES	NO					
$\boxtimes$		Have you minimized all sources of pollutant contact with stormwater runoff, to the maximum extent practicable?				
		Have you provided a <b>separately-bound</b> Operation and Maintenance Plan for the site and for all of the BMPs, and does it address each element of RICR 8.17 and RISDISM Appendix C and E?				
		Lawn, Garden, and Landscape Management meet the requirements of RISDISM Section G.7? If "No," why not?				
		Is the property owner or homeowner's association responsible for the stormwater maintenance of all BMP's? If "No," you must provide a legally binding and enforceable maintenance agreement (see RISDISM Appendix E, page 26) that identifies the entity that will be responsible for maintenance of the stormwater. Indicate where this agreement can be found in your report (i.e., name of report/document, page numbers, appendices, etc.).				
		Do you anticipate that you will need legal agreements related to the stormwater structures? (e.g. off-site easements, deed restrictions, covenants, or ELUR per the Remediation Regulations). If "Yes," have you obtained them? Or please explain your plan to obtain them:				

# Stormwater Management, Design, and Installation Rules (250-RICR-150-10-8)

Dollut	ion Dr	Is stormwater being directed from public areas to private property? If "Yes," note the following: <u>Note</u> : This is not allowed unless a funding mechanism is in place to provide the finances for the long-term maintenance of the BMP and drainage, or a funding mechanism is demonstrated that can guarantee the long- term maintenance of a stormwater BMP by an individual homeowner.
ronut		Designated snow stocknile locations?
		Trash racks to prevent floatables, trash, and debris from discharging to Waters of the State?
		Asphalt-only based sealants?
		Pet waste stations? ( <u>Note</u> : If a receiving water has a bacterial impairment, and the project involves housing units, then this could be an important part of your pollution prevention plan).
		Regular sweeping? Please describe:
		De-icing specifications, in accordance with RISDISM Appendix G. (NOTE: If the groundwater is GAA, or this area contributes to a drinking water supply, then this could be an important part of your pollution prevention plan).
$\boxtimes$		A prohibition of phosphate-based fertilizers? ( <u>Note</u> : If the site discharges to a phosphorus impaired waterbody, then this could be an important part of your pollution prevention plan).

# PART 4. SUBWATERSHED MAPPING AND SITE-PLAN DETAILS

Existin	Existing and Proposed Subwatershed Mapping (REQUIRED)						
YES	NO						
$\square$		Existing and proposed drainage area delineations					
$\square$		Locations of all streams and drainage swales					
		Drainage flow paths, mapped according to the DEM <i>Guidance for Preparation of Drainage Area Maps</i> (included in RISDISM Appendix K)					
$\square$		Complete drainage area boundaries; include off-site areas in both mapping and analyses, as applicable					
$\square$		Logs of borings and/or test pit investigations along with supporting soils/geotechnical report					
$\square$		Mapped seasonal high-water-table test pit locations					
		Mapped locations of the site-specific borings and/or test pits and soils information from the test pits at the locations of the BMPs					
$\square$		Mapped locations of the BMPs, with the BMPs consistently identified on the Site Construction Plans					
$\square$		Mapped bedrock outcrops adjacent to any infiltration BMP					
$\square$		Soils were logged by a:					
		DEM-licensed Class IV soil evaluator					
		Name: Tim Twohig License Number D-4073					
		□ RI-registered P.E.					
		Name:					

Subwatershed and Impervious Area Summary					
Subwatershed (area to each design point)	First Receiving Water ID or MS4	Area Disturbed (units)	Existing Impervious (units)	Proposed Impervious (units)	
DP-1:					
DP-2:					
DP-3:					
DP-4:					
TOTALS:					

Site C	Site Construction Plans (Indicate that the following applicable specifications are provided)						
YES	NO						
$\boxtimes$		Existing and proposed plans (scale not greater than $1'' = 40'$ ) with North arrow					
$\boxtimes$		Existing and proposed site topography (with 1 or 2-foot contours); 10-foot contours accepted for off-site areas					
$\boxtimes$		Boundaries of existing predominant vegetation and proposed limits of clearing					
$\square$		Site Location clarification					
$\square$		Location and field-verified boundaries of resource protection areas such as:					
		<ul> <li>freshwater and coastal wetlands, including lakes and ponds</li> </ul>					
		► coastal shoreline features					
		Perennial and intermittent streams, in addition to Areas Subject to Storm Flowage (ASSFs)					
$\square$		All required setbacks (e.g., buffers, water-supply wells, septic systems)					
$\square$		Representative cross-section and profile drawings, and notes and details of structural stormwater management					
		practices and conveyances (i.e., storm drains, open channels, swales, etc.), which include:					
		► Location and size of the stormwater treatment practices (type of practice, depth, area). Stormwater					
		treatment practices (BMPs) must have labels that correspond to RISDISM Table 5-2;					
		<ul> <li>Design water surface elevations (applicable storms);</li> </ul>					
		<ul> <li>Structural details of outlet structures, embankments, spillways, stilling basins, grade-control structures,</li> </ul>					
		conveyance channels, etc.;					
		<ul> <li>Existing and proposed structural elevations (e.g., inverts of pipes, manholes, etc.);</li> </ul>					
		<ul> <li>Location of floodplain and, if applicable, floodway limits and relationship of site to upstream and</li> </ul>					
		downstream properties or drainage that could be affected by work in the floodplain;					
		<ul> <li>Planting plans for structural stormwater BMPs, including species, size, planting methods, and</li> </ul>					
		maintenance requirements of proposed planting					
$\square$		Logs of borings and/or test pit investigations along with supporting soils/geotechnical report and corresponding					
		water tables					
$\square$		Mapping of any OLRSMM-approved remedial actions/systems (including ELURs)					
$\square$		Location of existing and proposed roads, buildings, and other structures including limits of disturbance;					
		<ul> <li>Existing and proposed utilities (e.g., water, sewer, gas, electric) and easements;</li> </ul>					
		<ul> <li>Location of existing and proposed conveyance systems, such as grass channels, swales, and storm drains,</li> </ul>					
		and location(s) of final discharge point(s) (wetland, waterbody, etc.);					
		<ul> <li>Cross sections of roadways, with edge details such as curbs and sidewalks;</li> </ul>					
		<ul> <li>Location and dimensions of channel modifications, such as bridge or culvert crossings</li> </ul>					
$\boxtimes$		Locations, cross sections, and profiles of all stream or wetland crossings and their method of stabilization					



Appendix B – Case Number KC-2024-0766

**STATE OF RHODE ISLAND** 

KENT, SC.

**SUPERIOR COURT** 

D2 HOMES, INC.; and : MATTHEW J. MCGOWAN, as and only as : **Receiver for COMMERCE PARK REALTY, LLC;** : **COMMERCE PARK PROPERTIES, LLC;** : **COMMERCE PARK COMMONS, LLC;** : **COMMERCE PARK ASSOCIATES 4, LLC;** : **CATAPULT REALTY, LLC; and COMMERCE** : PARK MANAGEMENT, LLC in P.M. No. 13-0350 : and P.B. No. 13-5001, • **Plaintiffs** : : C.A. No. KC-2024-0766 : v. • **TERRENCE GRAY**, in his capacity as : **Director of the STATE OF RHODE ISLAND** : **DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL** : MANAGEMEMT, : Defendant. :

## **CONSENT ORDER**

Pursuant to the agreement of the Parties in the above-captioned matter and upon the approval of the Court, the following is hereby:

## **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED**

1. There are no jurisdictional wetlands located on that portion of land located in Coventry, Rhode Island known as Assessor's Plat 13, Lot 22, comprising sub-phases 1-G, 1-H, 1-I, 1-J, 1-M, and 1-N on the approved master plan with the Town of Coventry,<sup>1</sup> and otherwise described in the Purchase and Sale Agreement between the Receiver and D2 Homes approved by the Court via a March 19, 2024 Order in the Receivership Proceedings<sup>2</sup> ("Property"). Therefore,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The subject Property is part of a residential development within the Centre of New England known as the "Highlands at Hopkins Hill" condominium development ("Highlands").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nicholas E. Cambio, Trustee, The Nicholas E. Cambio, Roney A. Malafronte and Vincent Cambio Trust v. Commerce Park Realty, LLC, Commerce Park Property, LLC, Commerce Park Commons, LLC, Commerce Park Associates 4, LLC and Catapult Realty, LLC, P.M. No. 13-0350 and Matthew J. McGowan, as Receiver v.

no wetlands permitting is required for the Property and the construction of 52-66 condominium units at the Property ("Project").

2. The Project requires only a Rhode Island Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Construction General Permit ("RIPDES Permit") from the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management ("RIDEM"), as well as any other permits required from other local and state agencies, as applicable. The parties further agree that:

a. In the application for a RIPDES Permit, the applicant will demonstrate that the watersheds and impervious area for the Project generally matches the watersheds and impervious areas from the 2007-0381 application for the Property, submitted to RIDEM, as previously reviewed by RIDEM.

b. In the application for a RIPDES Permit, the RIDEM will agree that the existing detention ponds in place at the Property and in close off-site proximity will be considered as the existing conditions and considered adequate for runoff control and no further analysis, design or construction will be required by RIDEM to satisfy the requirement for peak runoff control for the RIPDES application or permit. The requirements to do a pre- and post-analysis and to meet the recharge standards set forth in the RIDEM's Stormwater Management, Design and Installation Rules ("Rules") are waived for the Project.

c. The parties recognize that the existing ponds were not reviewed nor approved for water quality purposes and the applicant for the Project will analyze, design and construct the site to meet current water quality standards through potential modifications to the ponds or outlets, and/or installing water quality devices, roof

*Commerce Park Management, LLC,* C.A. No. PB 13-5001, each pending before this Court as Providence County-docketed matters (the "Receivership Proceedings"),

runoff infiltration, and/or other methods to achieve this under the Rules,

Minimum Standard 3.

- The applicant will agree that the dwelling units in the Project will obtain potable water from the Kent County Water Authority.
- 4. The Parties agree and acknowledge that the next steps shall be:
  - a. The applicant will cut the brush and vegetation on the Property to allow the existing infrastructure to be easily accessed;
  - b. The applicant will field-survey the elevations and existing infrastructure in place at the Property;
  - c. The applicant will conduct soils testing throughout the Property to determine the appropriateness of runoff infiltration and to assess the re-grading that occurred previously;
  - d. The applicant will prepare a stormwater plan and supporting data and information for a RIPDES application submission;
  - e. The applicant and RIDEM staff will meet prior to the submission of the RIPDES Application; and
  - f. The applicant will submit a complete RIPDES application to RIDEM, and the RIDEM will review the same within two (2) months of submission.

ENTER:

A Reift

1 Anile

JUDG Richard Licht Associate Justice Dated: September <u>23</u>, 2024

BY ORDER:

· Kengen

CLERWichael C. Rampone Deputy Clerk I

Case Number: KC-2024-0766 Filed in Kent County Superior Court Submitted: 9/10/2024 12:59 PM Envelope: 4792019 Reviewer: Tracy K.

> D2 HOMES, INC., By and through its Attorney,

/s/ Joelle C. Rocha

Joelle C. Rocha, Esq. RI Bar No. 7590 DUFFY & SWEENEY, LTD 321 South Main Street, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02903 Tel: (401) 455-0700 jrocha@duffysweeney.com

And

COMMERCE PARK REALTY, LLC COMMERCE PARK PROPERTIES, LLC, COMMERCE PARK COMMONS, LLC, COMMERCE PARK ASSOCIATES 4, LLC, CATAPULT REALTY, LLC, and COMMERCE PARK MANAGEMENT, LLC in P.M. No. 13-0350 and P.B. No. 13-5001

/s/ <u>Matthew J. McGowan</u> Matthew J. McGowan, Esq. as Receiver RI Bar No. 2770 Sylvia & Kishfy, LLC 56 Exchange Terrace, Suite 200 Providence, RI 02903 Tel: (401-600-0140 mmcgowan@sklawri.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 10th day of September, 2024, a copy of the foregoing document was filed and served through the Rhode Island ECF system and will be sent electronically to the counsel who are registered participants identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing

The document electronically filed and served is available for viewing and/or downloading from the Rhode Island Judiciary's Electronic Filing System.

/s/ Joan Durand

# TERRENCE GRAY, in his capacity as Director of the STATE OF RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMEMT,

By and through its Attorney,

/s/Johann Donall

Johann Donall, Esq. RI Bar No. 9274 235 Promenade Street, Suite 425 Providence, RI 02908 Tel: (401) 537-4081 Fax: (401) 222-3378 johann@donall@dem.ri.gov



# Appendix C.1 Excerpts from Catio Corporation Drainage Report

"Amendment to the Drainage Report for Centre of New England Phase 1 Condominium Complex" Coventry/West Greenwich, Rhode Island, Prepared for Universal Properties Group, Inc, Amended September 18, 2007, Revised October 10, 2008.

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

# AS-BUILT BASINS WITH MODIFICATIONS HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS

## Tc CALCULATIONS:

#### Name.... WATERSHED 5

Segment #1: Tc: TR-55 Sheet

Mannings n	.2400	
Hydraulic Length	150.00	ft
2yr, 24hr P	3.4000	in
Slope	.097000	ft/ft
Avg.Velocity	.25	ft/sec

Segment #1 Time: 10.18 min ------Segment #2: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 117.71 ft .097000 ft/ft Slope Unpaved Avg.Velocity 5.03 ft/sec

Segment #2 Time: .39 min \_\_\_\_\_

Segment #3: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 181.36 ft Slope .025000 ft/ft Paved Avg.Velocity 3.21 ft/sec

Segment #3 Time: .94 min 

Segment #4: Tc: Length & Vel. Hydraulic Length 176.49 ft Avg.Velocity 5.00 ft/sec

Segment #4 Time: .59 min ------Total Tc: 12.10 min 

#### Name.... WATERSHED 5A

Segment #1: Tc:	TR-55 She	eet			
Mannings n	.2400				
Hydraulic Length	150.00	ft			
2yr, 24hr P	3.4000	in			
Slope	.027000	ft/ft			
Avg.Velocity	.15	ft/sec			
			Segment	#1 Time:	16.98 min

\_\_\_\_

2

## JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

## CENTRE OF NEW ENGLAND DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS COVENTRY, RHODE ISLAND

OCTOBER 10, 2008

Segment #2: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 1114.93 ft Slope .027000 ft/ft		
Unpaved Avg.Velocity 2.65 ft/sec	Segment #2 Time:	7.01 min
Segment #3: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 210.18 ft Slope .019000 ft/ft Paved		
Avg.velocity 2.00 it/sec	Segment #3 Time:	1.25 min
Segment #4: Tc: Length & Vel. Hydraulic Length 181.29 ft Avg.Velocity 5.00 ft/sec	Segment #4 Time:	.60 min
	Total Tc:	25.85 min
Name WATERSHED 7		
Segment #1: Tc: TR-55 Sheet Mannings n .2400 Hydraulic Length 150.00 ft 2yr, 24hr P 3.4000 in Slope .064000 ft/ft Avg.Velocity .21 ft/sec	Garmanta #1 mina	10,00,00
	Segment #1 Time:	12.02 min
Segment #2: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 354.00 ft Slope .064000 ft/ft Unpaved		
Avg.Velocity 4.08 ft/sec	Segment #2 Time:	1.45 min
Segment #3: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 75.77 ft Slope .015000 ft/ft Paved		
Avg.Velocity 2.49 ft/sec	Segment #3 Time:	.51 min
Segment #4: Tc: Length & Vel. Hydraulic Length 565.00 ft		
Avg.Velocity 5.00 ft/sec	Segment #4 Time:	1.88 min
	Total Tc:	15.86 min

#### JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

#### Name.... WATERSHED 6D

Segment #1: Tc: TR-55 Sheet Mannings n .2400 Hydraulic Length 58.00 ft 2yr, 24hr P 3.4000 in Slope .017200 ft/ft Avg.Velocity .10 ft/sec		
	Segment #1 Time:	.1585 hrs
Segment #2: Tc: TR-55 Sheet Mannings n .2400 Hydraulic Length 198.00 ft 2yr, 24hr P 3.4000 in Slope .152000 ft/ft Avg.Velocity .31 ft/sec		
	Segment #2 Time:	.1771 hrs
Segment #3: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 44.00 ft Slope .035000 ft/ft Unpaved Avg.Velocity 3.02 ft/sec		
	Segment #3 Time:	.0040 hrs
Segment #4: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 10.00 ft Slope .035000 ft/ft Unpaved Avg.Velocity 3.02 ft/sec		
	Segment #4 Time:	.0009 hrs
Segment #5: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 285.00 ft Slope .010000 ft/ft Paved Avg.Velocity 2.03 ft/sec	Segment #5 Time:	.0389 hrs
Segment #6: Tc: Length & Vel. Hydraulic Length 371.00 ft Avg.Velocity 5.00 ft/sec		
	Comment IIC mi	

Segment #6 Time: .0206 hrs

Total Tc: .4001 hrs

4

JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

#### Name.... WATERSHED 6F

Segment #1: Tc: TR-55 Sheet Mannings n.2400Hydraulic Length70.00 ft2yr, 24hr P3.4000 inSlope.030000 ft/ftAvg.Velocity.13 ft/sec Mannings n Segment #1 Time: .1475 hrs Segment #2: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 170.00 ft Slope .020000 ft/ft Paved Avg.Velocity 2.87 ft/sec Segment #2 Time: .0164 hrs Segment #3: Tc: Length & Vel. Hydraulic Length 160.00 ft Avg.Velocity 5.00 ft/sec Segment #3 Time: .0089 hrs Total Tc: .1728 hrs Name.... WATERSHED 6E Segment #1: Tc: TR-55 Sheet Mannings n .2400 Hydraulic Length 169.00 ft 
 2yr, 24hr P
 3.4000 in

 Slope
 .166000 ft/ft

 Avg.Velocity
 .31 ft/sec
 .31 ft/sec Segment #1 Time: .1506 hrs Segment #2: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 130.00 ft Slope .027000 ft/ft Unpaved Avg.Velocity 2.65 ft/sec Segment #2 Time: .0136 hrs 

## JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

## **CENTRE OF NEW ENGLAND** DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS COVENTRY, RHODE ISLAND

OCTOBER 10, 2008

.

Segment #3: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 185.00 ft Slope .027000 ft/ft Paved Avg.Velocity 3.34 ft/sec		
	Segment #3 Time:	.0154 hrs
Segment #4: Tc: Length & Vel. Hydraulic Length 73.00 ft Avg.Velocity 5.00 ft/sec	Segment #4 Time.	0041 brs
	=======================================	=================
	Total Tc:	.1837 hrs
Name WATERSHED 6G		
Segment #1: Tc: User Defined		
	Segment #1 mime.	1500 bra
	begment #1 11me.	.4500 1115
	=======================================	============
	Total Tc:	.4500 hrs
Name WS 11		
Segment #1: Tc: TR-55 Sheet Mannings n .2400 Hydraulic Length 150.00 ft 2yr, 24hr P 3.4000 in Slope .035000 ft/ft Avg.Velocity .16 ft/sec		
	Segment #1 Time:	15.31 min
Segment #2: Tc: TR-55 Shallow Hydraulic Length 598.39 ft Slope .035000 ft/ft Unpaved Avg.Velocity 3.02 ft/sec		
	Segment #2 Time:	3.30 min
Segment #3: Tc: Length & Vel. Hydraulic Length 312.59 ft Avg.Velocity 5.00 ft/sec		
	Segment #3 Time:	1.04 min
	==================	===========
	Total Tc:	19.65 min

#### JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

#### CENTRE OF NEW ENGLAND DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS COVENTRY, RHODE ISLAND

Segment #3: TC:	Length &	Vel.
Hydraulic Length	44.64	ft
Avg.Velocity	5.00	ft/sec

Segment #3 Time: .15 min

Total Tc: 12.93 min

Tc Equations used ...

Tc = (.007 \* ((n \* Lf) \*\*0.8)) / ((P\*\*.5) \* (Sf\*\*.4))

Where: Tc = Time of concentration, hrs n = Mannings n Lf = Flow length, ft P = 2yr, 24hr Rain depth, inches Sf = Slope, %

Unpaved surface: V = 16.1345 \* (Sf\*\*0.5)

Paved surface: V = 20.3282 \* (Sf\*\*0.5)

Tc = (Lf / V) / (3600 sec/hr)

```
Where: V = Velocity, ft/sec
Sf = Slope, ft/ft
Tc = Time of concentration, hrs
Lf = Flow length, ft
```

Tc = (Lf / V) / (3600 sec/hr)

Where: Tc = Time of concentration, hrs Lf = Flow length, ft V = Velocity, ft/sec

## JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

#### **CN CALCULATIONS:**

#### Type.... Runoff CN-Area

## Name.... WATERSHED 5

		I			
		Area	Area Adjust		Adjusted
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	&C &UC		CN
Road	98	.243			98.00
Housing Units	98	.799			98.00
Basin 5	98	.102			98.00
Pervious/grass	39	.484			39.00
Steep Slopes	49	.049			49.00
Offsite Watershed (Ind & Woods)	61	.083			61.00
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		1.760			78.67 (79)

Name.... WATERSHED 5A

		Impervious				
		Area	Adjustment		Adjusted	
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	%C	%UC	CN	
Road	98	.339			98.00	
Housing Units	98	1.174			98.00	
Basin 5A	98	.271			98.00	
Pervious/grass	39	1.275			39.00	
Steep Slopes	. 49	.934			49.00	
Offsite Watershed (Ind & Woods)	61	8.048			61.00	
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		12.041			63.22 (63)	

#### Name.... WATERSHED 7

		Area	Adjustment		Adjusted	
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	%C	%UC	CN	
Road	98	.852			98.00	
Housing Units	98	.875			98.00	
Basin 7	98	.287			98.00	
Pervious/grass	39	1.390			39.00	
Steep slopes	49	.423			49.00	
Offsite Watershed (Ind & Woods)	61	.923			61.00	

COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN --->

4.750

69.18 (69)

#### JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

#### Name.... WATERSHED 6D

	Impervious						
		Area		tment	Adjusted		
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	&C	&UC	CN		
Basin 6D	98	.292			98.00		
Impervious	98	1.719			98.00		
Offsite Waterdhed (Ind & Woods)	61	3.938			61.00		
Woods, sloped area	49	1.549			49.00		
Open Space (Good Cond)	39	1.547			39.00		
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		9.045			63.41 (63)		

#### Name.... WATERSHED 6F

	Impervious					
		Area	Adjustment		Adjusted	
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	%C	%UC	CN	
Pervious	39	.534			39.00	
Impervious	98	.314			98.00	
Basin 6F	98	.210			98.00	
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		1.058			68.22 (68)	

#### Name.... WATERSHED 6E

	Impervious						
Soil/Surface Description	CN	Area	Adjust	tment	Adjusted		
		acres					
Basin 6E	98	.102			98.00		
Offsite Watershed (Ind & Woods)	61	.212			61.00		
Woods, sloped area	49	.128			49.00		
Impervious	98	.274			98.00		
Open Space (good cond)	39	.715			39.00		
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		1.431			58.66 (59)	)	

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

#### Name.... WATERSHED 6G

		Area	Impervious Adjustment		Adjusted	
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	&C	&UC	CN	
Steep Slopes	49	.171			49.00	
Impervious	98	1.287			98.00	
Basin 6G	98	.126			98.00	
Open Space	39	1.430			39.00	
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		3.013			67.23 (67)	

#### Name.... WS 11

	Impervious					
		Area	Adjustment		Adjusted	
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	%C	&UC	CN	
Road	98	.393			98.00	
Housing Units	98	1.246			98.00	
Basin 11	98	.135			98.00	
Pervious/grass	39	1.251			39.00	
Steep Slopes	49	.494			49.00	
Offsite Watershed (Ind & Woods)	61	.546			61.00	
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		4.065			68.92 (69)	

#### Name.... WS 12

		Area	Imper Adjust	vious	Adjusted
Soil/Surface Description	CN	acres	&C	&UC	CN
Road	98	.161			98.00
Housing Units	98	.424			98.00
Basin 12	98	.109			98.00
Pervious/grass	39	.864			39.00
Steep Slopes	49	.515			49.00
Offsite Watershed (Ind & Woods)	61	2.752			61.00

COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN --->

4.825

61.10 (61)

# JOHN P. CAITO CORPORATION

**OCTOBER 10, 2008** 

Name.... WS 14

Soil/Surface Description		Area	Impervious Adjustment		Adjusted	E
		acres	&C	&UC	CN	
Road	98	.173			98.00	
Housing Units	98	.616			98.00	
Basin 14	98	.104			98.00	
Pervious/grass	39	.618	× .		39.00	
Steep Slopes	49	.193			49.00	
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>		1.704			71.05 (71	)



Appendix C.2 10 Year and 100 Year HydroCAD Analysis from Catio Corporation Design
Prepared by DiPrete Engineering

HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 01125 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

## Summary for Subcatchment 10: WS 12

Runoff = 6.34 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.503 af, Depth= 1.25"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description
*	0.161	98	From Catio Drainage Report Dated Oct 2008
*	0.424	98	
*	0.109	98	
*	0.864	39	
*	0.515	49	
*	2.752	61	
	4.825	61	Weighted Average
	4.131	55	85.62% Pervious Area
	0.694	98	14.38% Impervious Area
	To leno	ath S	Slope Velocity Capacity Description

IC	Length	Siope	velocity	Capacity	Description	
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
6.0					Direct Entry,	

### Summary for Subcatchment 20: WS 11

Runoff = 5.68 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= 0.614 af, Depth= 1.81"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.393	98	From	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	port Dated Oct 2008
*	1.246	98			•	
*	0.135	98				
*	1.251	39				
*	0.494	49				
*	0.546	61				
	4.065	4.065 69 Weighted Average		age		
	2.291	46	56.3	6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.774	98	43.6	4% Imperv	vious Area	
	Tc Len (min) (fe	igth eet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	19.6					Direct Entry,

Prepared by DiPrete Engineering

HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 01125 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

## Summary for Subcatchment 30: WS 7

Runoff = 2.66 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.219 af, Depth= 1.12"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription			
*	0.383	98	From	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	port Dated Oct 2008	
*	0.432	98					
*	1.398	39					
*	0.125	30					
	2.338	59	Weig	hted Aver	age		
	1.523	.523 38 65.14% Pervious Area			us Area		
	0.815	0.815 98 34.86% Impervious Area		vious Area			
	Tc Leng (min) (fe	gth et)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
	6.0					Direct Entry,	

### Summary for Subcatchment 40: WS 5A

Runoff = 10.86 cfs @ 12.41 hrs, Volume= 1.388 af, Depth= 1.38"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.339	98	Fron	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	oort Dated Oct 2008
*	1.174	. 98			•	
*	0.271	98				
*	1.275	39				
*	0.934	49				
*	8.048	61				
	12.041	12.041 63		Weighted Average		
	10.257	57	85.1	8% Pervio	us Area	
	1.784	98	14.8	2% Imper	vious Area	
	To le	nath	Slope	Velocity	Canacity	Description
	(min) (1	feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	25.8		. /	· · · /		Direct Entry,

## Summary for Subcatchment 50: WS 5

Runoff = 4.45 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.386 af, Depth= 2.63"

Prepared by DiPrete Engineering HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 01125 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

	Area (ac)	CN	Description		
*	0.243	98	From Catio Dra	ainage Rep	ort Dated Oct 2008
*	0.799	98			
*	0.102	98			
*	0.484	39			
*	0.049	49			
*	0.083	61			
	1.760	79	Weighted Aver	age	
	0.616	43	35.00% Pervio	us Area	
	1.144	98	65.00% Imper	vious Area	
	<b>-</b>			Consister	Description
	(min) (fo	jin ct)			Description
	(min) (ie	et)	(10/11) (10/Sec)	(CIS)	
	12.1				Direct Entry,

## Summary for Subcatchment 60: WS 6G

Runoff =	1.17 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	0.092 af, Depth= 1.32"
----------	------------	------------	---------	------------------------

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.123	98	From	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	port Dated Oct 2008
*	0.205	98			•	
*	0.507	39				
*	0.000	30				
	0.835	0.835 62 Weighted Average			age	
	0.507	).507 39 60.72% Pervious Area			us Area	
	0.328	98	39.28	8% Imperv	vious Area	
	Tc Leng (min) (fe	jth et)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	6.0					Direct Entry,

Prepared by DiPrete Engineering

HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 01125 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

## Summary for Subcatchment 10: WS 12

Runoff = 22.41 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 1.603 af, Depth= 3.99"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.70"

	Area (ac)	CN	Description
*	0.161	98	From Catio Drainage Report Dated Oct 2008
*	0.424	98	
*	0.109	98	
*	0.864	39	
*	0.515	49	
*	2.752	61	
	4.825	61	Weighted Average
	4.131	55	85.62% Pervious Area
	0.694	98	14.38% Impervious Area
	Tc Leng	gth S	Slope Velocity Capacity Description

(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)

#### 6.0

## Direct Entry,

### Summary for Subcatchment 20: WS 11

Runoff = 16.06 cfs @ 12.26 hrs, Volume= 1.677 af, Depth= 4.95"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.393	98	From	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	port Dated Oct 2008
*	1.246	98			•	
*	0.135	98				
*	1.251	39				
*	0.494	49				
*	0.546	61				
	4.065	4.065 69 Weighted Average		age		
	2.291	46	56.3	6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.774	98	43.6	4% Imperv	vious Area	
	Tc Len (min) (fe	igth eet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	19.6					Direct Entry,

Prepared by DiPrete Engineering

HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 01125 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

## Summary for Subcatchment 30: WS 7

Runoff = 10.15 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.730 af, Depth= 3.75"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.70"

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription			
*	0.383	98	From	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	port Dated Oct 2008	
*	0.432	98					
*	1.398	39					
*	0.125	30					
	2.338	59	Weig	hted Aver	age		
	1.523	.523 38 65.14% Pervious Area			us Area		
	0.815	0.815 98 34.86% Impervious Area		vious Area			
	Tc Leng (min) (fe	gth et)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
	6.0					Direct Entry,	

### Summary for Subcatchment 40: WS 5A

Runoff = 35.95 cfs @ 12.36 hrs, Volume= 4.241 af, Depth= 4.23"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.70"

	Area (a	ic) Cl	V Des	cription		
*	0.33	39 9	8 Fror	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	ort Dated Oct 2008
*	1.17	74 9	8		•	
*	0.27	71 9	8			
*	1.27	75 3	9			
*	0.93	34 4	9			
*	8.04	48 6	1			
	12.04	41 6	3 Wei	ghted Aver	age	
	10.25	57 5	7 85.1	8% Pervio	us Area	
	1.78	84 9	8 14.8	2% Imperv	ious Area	
	Tc L	_ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(teet)	(π/π)	(TU/SEC)	(CTS)	
	25.8					Direct Entry,

## Summary for Subcatchment 50: WS 5

Runoff = 10.27 cfs @ 12.16 hrs, Volume= 0.904 af, Depth= 6.16"

Prepared by DiPrete Engineering HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 01125 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

	Area (ac)	CN	Description		
*	0.243	98	From Catio D	ainage Rep	port Dated Oct 2008
*	0.799	98			
*	0.102	98			
*	0.484	39			
*	0.049	49			
*	0.083	61			
	1.760	79	Weighted Ave	erage	
	0.616	43	35.00% Pervi	ous Area	
	1.144	98	65.00% Imper	vious Area	
	Tc Lene (min) (fe	gth et)	Slope Velocity (ft/ft) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	12.1				Direct Entry,

## Summary for Subcatchment 60: WS 6G

Runoff = 4.00 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume=	0.286 af, Depth= 4.11"
---------------------	--------------------	------------------------

	Area (ac)	CN	Desc	ription		
*	0.123	98	From	n Catio Dra	ainage Rep	port Dated Oct 2008
*	0.205	98			•	
*	0.507	39				
*	0.000	30				
	0.835	62	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	0.507	39	60.72	2% Pervio	us Area	
	0.328	98	39.28	3% Imperv	vious Area	
	Tc Leng (min) (fe	gth et)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	6.0					Direct Entry,



Appendix C.3 10 Year and 100 Year HydroCAD Analysis from DiPrete Engineering Layout

## Summary for Subcatchment 100: Subcat 100 (P12)

Runoff = 1.55 cfs @ 12.26 hrs, Volume= 0.184 af, Depth= 1.00"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription				
0.	0.918   39   >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
0.	149 3	30 Brus	sh, Good, H	HSG A			
0.054 96 Gravel surface, HSG A							
0.	291 9	98 Impe	ervious, HS	SG A			
0.	356 9	98 Roo	fs, HSG A				
0.	040 9	98 Wat	er Surface	, 0% imp, ⊦	ISG A		
0.	<u>399 (</u>	30 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG A			
2.	207	57 Wei	ghted Avei	rage			
1.	560 3	39 70.6	8% Pervio	us Area			
0.	647 9	98 29.3	2% Imperv	vious Area			
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
9.5	100	0.1490	0.18		Sheet Flow, A		
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"		
5.4	178	0.0467	0.55	3.55	Parabolic Channel, B		
					W=24.00' D=0.40' Area=6.4 sf Perim=24.0'		
					n= 0.240 Sheet flow over Dense Grass		
1.0	137	0.0117	2.20		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C		
	400		10 75	45.05	Paved Kv= 20.3 fps		
0.2	182	0.0500	12.75	15.65	Pipe Channel, D		
					15.00" Round Area= 1.2 st Perim= 3.9" r= 0.31"		
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PP, smooth Interior		
16.1	597	Fotal					

### Summary for Subcatchment 200: Subcat 200 (P11)

Runoff = 2.65 cfs @ 12.37 hrs, Volume= 0.337 af, Depth= 1.19" Routed to nonexistent node P11

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" Printed 1/10/2025

Prepared by DiPrete Enginee	ering
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125	© 2024 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
1.	703 3	39 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A
0.	099 3	30 Brus	sh, Good, H	ISG A	·
0.	036 9	96 Grav	/el surface	, HSG A	
0.	487 9	98 Impe	ervious, HS	SG A	
0.	000 9	98 Offs	ite Impervi	ous, HSG /	4
0.	041 🖇	98 Offs	ite Roofs,	HSG A	
0.	683 9	98 Roo	fs, HSG A		
0.	020 9	98 Wat	er Surface	, 0% imp, H	ISG A
0.	<u>344 (</u>	<u>30 Woo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A	
3.	414 6	60 Weig	ghted Aver	rage	
2.	202 3	39 64.5	0% Pervio	us Area	
1.:	212 9	98 35.5	0% Imper	∕ious Area	
_					
TC	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cts)	
17.2	100	0.0120	0.10		Sheet Flow, A
	~~		<b>a</b> 4 <b>a</b>		Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.30"
0.2	98	0.2775	8.48		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B
4 5	07	0 0070	0.05	1 00	Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
4.5	67	0.0070	0.25	1.08	Parabolic Channel, C
					W=13.00 D=0.50 Area=4.3 st Perim=13.1
0.2	05	0 0101	E 72	7 02	n= 0.240 Sheet now over Dense Grass
0.5	95	0.0101	5.75	7.03	15 00" Bound Aroos 1.2 of Borims 3.0' rs 0.31'
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PR smooth interior
07	208	0 0135	6 63	8 13	Pipe Channel E
0.7	230	0.0155	0.05	0.15	15 00" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
					n=0.012 Corrugated PP smooth interior
22.0	658	Total			
ZZ.9	000	rola			

## Summary for Subcatchment 300: Subcat 300 (P7)

Runoff = 3.08 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.244 af, Depth= 1.25"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
1.315	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
0.488	98	Impervious, HSG A
0.048	98	Offsite Impervious, HSG A
0.050	98	Offsite Roofs, HSG A
0.293	98	Roofs, HSG A
0.000	98	Water Surface, 0% imp, HSG A
0.148	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2.342	61	Weighted Average
1.464	38	62.50% Pervious Area
0.879	98	37.50% Impervious Area

Prepared by DiPrete Engineering

HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125 © 2024 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description					
6.0					Direct Entry,					
	Summary for Subcatchment 400: Subcat 400 (P5A)									
Runoff	=	5.49 cfs	s@ 12.42	2 hrs, Volu	me= 0.848 af, Depth= 0.77"					
Runoff b Type III 2	y SCS TF 24-hr 10-	R-20 meth Year Rai	nod, UH=S nfall=4.80"	CS, Weigh	ted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs					
Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription							
7.	255 3	89 >75%	% Grass co	over, Good,	, HSG A					
0.	672 9	8 Impe	ervious, HS	SG A						
1.	382 9	08 Offsi	ite Impervi	ous, HSG A	ł					
0.	013 9	8 Roof	ite Roois, i fs HSG A	13G A						
0	028 9	98 Wate	er Surface	. 0% imp. H	ISG A					
2.	363 3	80 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A						
13.	.214 5	53 Weig	ghted Aver	age						
9.	.646 3	37 73.0	0% Pervio	us Area						
3.	568 9	98 27.0	0% Imperv	vious Area						
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Canacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	Description					
18.5	100	0.0280	0.09	<u> </u>	Sheet Flow, A					
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"					
0.9	205	0.0576	3.86		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B					
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps					
0.8	409	0.0200	8.06	9.90	Pipe Channel, C					
					15.00 Round Area= 1.2 St Perim= 3.9 r= 0.31					
16	45	0.0913	0 46	1 61	Parabolic Channel D					
	10	0.0010	0.10		W=13.00' D=0.40' Area=3.5 sf Perim=13.0'					
					n= 0.400 Sheet flow: Woods+light brush					
0.2	84	0.2080	7.34		Shallow Concentrated Flow, E					
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps					
1.1	507	0.0170	7.44	9.12	Pipe Channel, F					
					15.00° Round Area= 1.2 st Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'					
	1 250	Total								
23.1	1,350	TOTAL								

## Summary for Subcatchment 500: Subcat 500 (P5)

Runoff = 1.95 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= 0.192 af, Depth= 1.38" Routed to nonexistent node 14P

Type III 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=4.80" Printed 1/10/2025

\_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by DiPrete Enginee	ering
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125	© 2024 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area	(ac) (	CN De	escription		
0.924 39 >75% Grass cover, Good,					, HSG A
0.	425	98 Im	pervious, H	SG A	
0.	246	98 Ro	oofs, HSG A		
0.	010	98 W	ater Surface	e, 0% imp, H	ISG A
0.	057	<u>30 W</u>	oods, Good	, HSG A	
1.	662	63 W	eighted Ave	rage	
0.	991	39 59	.62% Pervic	ous Area	
0.	671	98 40	.38% Imper	vious Area	
Т	1	<u>Olan</u>	• \/=l==:4	0	Description
IC (min)	Length	510p			Description
				(05)	
11.9	100	0.030	0 0.14		
0.6	101	0 1 1 1	F 5 29		Glass. Delise II- 0.240 P2- 3.30 Shallow Concentrated Flow P
0.0	191	0.111	5 5.56		Unpayed Ky= 16.1 fps
07	340	0.025	2 7 80	6 13	Pine Channel D
0.7	010	0.020	2 7.00	0.10	12.00" Round Area= 0.8 sf Perim= 3.1' r= 0.25'
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PP. smooth interior
13.2	640	Total			
1012					

## Summary for Subcatchment 600: 600 (6G)

Runoff	=	1.15 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume=	0.085 af,Depth=  1.67'
--------	---	------------	--------------------	------------------------

Area (ac	c) CN	Description			
0.31	1 39	>75% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A	
0.03	9 96	Gravel surface	e, HSG A		
0.08	3 98	Impervious, H	SG A		
0.06	1 98	Offsite Imperv	ious, HSG A	4	
0.11	3 98	Roofs, HSG A			
0.00	6 30	Woods, Good,	HSG A		
0.61	3 67	Weighted Ave	rage		
0.35	6 45	58.06% Pervic	us Area		
0.25	7 98	41.94% Imper	vious Area		
Tc Le (min)	ength (feet)	Slope Velocity (ft/ft) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
6.0				Direct Entry,	

## Summary for Subcatchment 100: Subcat 100 (P12)

Runoff = 6.52 cfs @ 12.23 hrs, Volume= 0.645 af, Depth= 3.51"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.70"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription						
0.	918	39 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG A				
0.	149	30 Brus	Brush, Good, HSG A						
0.	054	96 Gra	vel surface	e, HSG A					
0.	291	98 Imp	ervious, HS	SG A					
0.	356	98 Roo	fs, HSG A						
0.	040	98 Wat	er Surface	, 0% imp, ⊦	ISG A				
0.	399	<u>30 Woo</u>	<u>ods, Good,</u>	HSG A					
2.	207	57 Wei	ghted Aver	rage					
1.	560	39 70.6	8% Pervio	us Area					
0.	647	98 29.3	32% Imperv	vious Area					
				_					
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
9.5	100	0.1490	0.18		Sheet Flow, A				
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"				
5.4	178	0.0467	0.55	3.55	Parabolic Channel, B				
					W=24.00' D=0.40' Area=6.4 sf Perim=24.0'				
					n= 0.240 Sheet flow over Dense Grass				
1.0	137	0.0117	2.20		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C				
0.0	400	0.0500	40.75	45.05	Paved Kv= 20.3 fps				
0.2	182	0.0500	12.75	15.65	Pipe Channel, D				
					15.00 Round Area 1.2 St Perim 3.9 1= 0.31				
40.1		<b>- - - -</b>							
16.1	597	lotal							

## Summary for Subcatchment 200: Subcat 200 (P11)

Runoff = 9.73 cfs @ 12.34 hrs, Volume= 1.100 af, Depth= 3.87" Routed to nonexistent node P11

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.70" Printed 1/10/2025

Prepared by DiPret	e Enginee	ering			
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a	s/n 01125	© 2024 H	ydroCAD	Software	Solutions LLC

Are	a (ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
	1.703	39	>759	% Grass c	over, Good,	, HSG A
	0.099	30	Brus	h, Good, H	HSG A	
	0.036	96	Grav	el surface	, HSG A	
	0.487	98	Impe	ervious, HS	SG A	
	0.000	98	Offs	ite Impervi	ous, HSG A	$\mathcal{A}$
	0.041	98	Offs	ite Roofs,	HSG A	
	0.683	98	Root	fs, HSG A		
	0.020	98	Wate	er Surface	, 0% imp, ⊦	ISG A
	0.344	30	Woc	ds, Good,	HSG A	
	3.414	60	Wei	ghted Avei	rage	
	2.202	39	64.5	0% Pervio	us Area	
	1.212	98	35.5	0% Imper	vious Area	
-			~		<b>A B</b>	
		:h	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min</u>	) (fee	<u>t)</u>	<u>(ft/ft)</u>	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
17.2	2 10	0	0.0120	0.10		Sheet Flow, A
		~	0 0775	0.40		Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.30"
0.2	2 9	8	0.2775	8.48		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B
		-	0 0070	0.05	4 00	Unpaved KV= 16.1 fps
4.:	5 6	07	0.0070	0.25	1.08	Parabolic Channel, C
						W = 15.00 D=0.50 Area=4.5 Sr Perim = 15.1
0.2	2 0	5	0 0101	5 72	7.03	Pine Channel D
0.0	5 5	5	0.0101	5.75	7.03	15 00" Pound Area 1.2 sf Porim 3.0' r 0.31'
						n=0.012 Corrugated PP smooth interior
0 7	7 20	8	0 0135	6 63	8 13	
0.1	23	0	0.0155	0.05	0.15	15 00" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
						n= 0.012 Corrugated PP smooth interior
	. 65	8	Total			
ZZ.3	a DD	0	rola			

## Summary for Subcatchment 300: Subcat 300 (P7)

Runoff = 10.88 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.778 af, Depth= 3.99"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
1.315	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
0.488	98	Impervious, HSG A
0.048	98	Offsite Impervious, HSG A
0.050	98	Offsite Roofs, HSG A
0.293	98	Roofs, HSG A
0.000	98	Water Surface, 0% imp, HSG A
0.148	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2.342	61	Weighted Average
1.464	38	62.50% Pervious Area
0.879	98	37.50% Impervious Area

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.70" Printed 1/10/2025

Prepared by DiPret	e Engineei	ring		
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a	s/n 01125 (	© 2024 Hyd	roCAD Softwar	e Solutions LLC

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
6.0		(1217)	( )	()	Direct Entry,			
	Summary for Subcatchment 400: Subcat 400 (P5A)							
Runoff	=	28.55 cfs	s@ 12.3	5 hrs, Volu	me= 3.345 af, Depth= 3.04"			
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr  100-Year Rainfall=8.70''								
Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription					
7	.255 3	9 >75°	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG A			
0	.672 9	18 Impe	ervious, HS	SG A	A			
1	.382 8 501 0	18 Offsi 18 Offsi	ite Impervi ite Roofs	0US, HOG A HSG A	4			
1	.013 9	8 Root	fs. HSG A					
0	.028 9	8 Wate	er Surface	, 0% imp, H	ISG A			
2	.363 3	0 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A				
13	.214 5	3 Weig	ghted Aver	age				
9	.646 3	57 73.0	0% Pervio	us Area				
3	.568 9	8 27.0	0% Imperv	lious Area				
Тс	Lenath	Slope	Velocitv	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
18.5	100	0.0280	0.09		Sheet Flow, A			
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"			
0.9	205	0.0576	3.86		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B			
0.0	400	0 0200	8.06	0.00	Unpaved KV= 16.1 fps			
0.0	409	0.0200	0.00	9.90	15.00" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'			
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PP, smooth interior			
1.6	45	0.0913	0.46	1.61	Parabolic Channel, D			
					W=13.00' D=0.40' Area=3.5 sf Perim=13.0'			
	<b>•</b> 4	0.0000			n= 0.400 Sheet flow: Woods+light brush			
0.2	84	0.2080	7.34		Shallow Concentrated Flow, E			
1 1	507	0 0170	7 44	9 12	Pine Channel F			
	007	0.0170	1.77	0.12	15.00" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'			
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PP, smooth interior			

23.1 1,350 Total

## Summary for Subcatchment 500: Subcat 500 (P5)

Runoff = 6.51 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.585 af, Depth= 4.23" Routed to nonexistent node 14P

Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.70" Printed 1/10/2025

Prepared by DiPrete Enginee	ering	
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125	© 2024 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	С

Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	ription						
0.	924	39	>75%	75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
0.	425	98	Impe	ervious, HS	SG A					
0.	246	98	Roof	s, HSG A						
0.	010	98	Wate	er Surface	, 0% imp, F	ISG A				
0.	057	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A					
1.	662	63	Weig	ghted Aver	age					
0.	991	39	59.6	2% Pervio	us Area					
0.	671	98	40.3	8% Imperv	vious Area					
-			~ '		<b>.</b>					
IC	Length		Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(CTS)					
11.9	100	) 0.	.0300	0.14		Sheet Flow, A				
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.30"				
0.6	191	0.	.1115	5.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B				
		_				Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				
0.7	349	0.	.0252	7.80	6.13	Pipe Channel, D				
						12.00" Round Area= 0.8 sf Perim= 3.1' r= 0.25'				
						n= 0.012 Corrugated PP, smooth interior				
13.2	640	) T	otal							

## Summary for Subcatchment 600: 600 (6G)

Runoff =	3.38 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	0.240 af, Depth= 4.7	'1"
----------	------------	------------	---------	----------------------	-----

Area (ac)	) CN	Description	
0.311	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A	
0.039	96	Gravel surface, HSG A	
0.083	8 98	Impervious, HSG A	
0.061	98	Offsite Impervious, HSG A	
0.113	8 98	Roofs, HSG A	
0.006	6 30	Woods, Good, HSG A	
0.613	67	Weighted Average	
0.356	6 45	58.06% Pervious Area	
0.257	' 98	41.94% Impervious Area	
Tc Le (min) ('	ngth feet)	Slope Velocity Capacity Description (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)	
6.0		Direct Entry,	



Appendix C.4 HydroCAD Water Quality HydroCAD Storm Analysis

HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125 © 2024 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

## Summary for Subcatchment 100: Subcat 100 (P12)

Runoff = 0.53 cfs @ 12.22 hrs, Volume= 0.053 af, Depth= 0.29"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr WQ Storm Rainfall=1.20"

Area (	(ac) C	N Des	cription						
0.9	918 3	39 >75	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
0.	149 3	30 Brus	Brush, Good, HSG A						
0.	054 🖇	96 Grav	vel surface	e, HSG A					
0.3	291 9	98 Impe	ervious, HS	SG A					
0.3	356 9	98 Roo	fs, HSG A						
0.	040 9	98 Wat	er Surface	, 0% imp, ⊦	ISG A				
0.3	<u>399 3</u>	<u>30 Woo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A					
2.3	207 5	57 Wei	ghted Avei	rage					
1.:	560 3	39 70.6	8% Pervio	us Area					
0.	647 9	98 29.3	2% Imperv	vious Area					
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
9.5	100	0.1490	0.18		Sheet Flow, A				
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"				
5.4	178	0.0467	0.55	3.55	Parabolic Channel, B				
					W=24.00' D=0.40' Area=6.4 sf Perim=24.0'				
					n= 0.240 Sheet flow over Dense Grass				
1.0	137	0.0117	2.20		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C				
	400	0.0500	40.75	45.05	Paved Kv= 20.3 fps				
0.2	182	0.0500	12.75	15.65	Pipe Channel, D				
					15.00° Round Area= 1.2 st Perim= 3.9° r= 0.31°				
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PP, smooth Interior				
16.1	597	⊺otal							

## Summary for Subcatchment 200: Subcat 200 (P11)

Runoff = 0.85 cfs @ 12.29 hrs, Volume= 0.100 af, Depth= 0.35" Routed to nonexistent node P11

Type III 24-hr WQ Storm Rainfall=1.20" Printed 1/10/2025

Prepared by DiPrete Enginee	ering
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125	© 2024 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area (	(ac) C	N Des	cription						
1.	703 3	39 >75°	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
0.0	099 3	30 Brus	Brush, Good, HSG A						
0.0	036 9	96 Grav	/el surface	, HSG A					
0.4	487 9	98 Impe	ervious, HS	SG A					
0.0	000 9	98 Offs	ite Impervi	ous, HSG A	4				
0.0	041 9	98 Offs	ite Roofs,	HSG A					
0.0	683 9	8 Roo	fs, HSG A						
0.0	020 9	98 Wat	er Surface	, 0% imp, H	ISG A				
0.3	344 3	<u>30 Woo</u>	ods, Good,	HSG A					
3.4	414 6	60 Weig	ghted Avei	age					
2.2	202 3	39 64.5	0% Pervio	us Area					
1.2	212 9	98 35.5	0% Imperv	∕ious Area					
_				_					
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(tt/sec)	(cts)					
17.2	100	0.0120	0.10		Sheet Flow, A				
					Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.30"				
0.2	98	0.2775	8.48		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B				
				4.00	Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				
4.5	67	0.0070	0.25	1.08	Parabolic Channel, C				
					W=13.00° D=0.50° Area=4.3 st Perim=13.1°				
0.0	05	0.0404	F 70	7 0 2	n= 0.240 Sheet flow over Dense Grass				
0.3	95	0.0101	5.73	7.03	Pipe Channel, D 15 00" Dound Aroos 1.2 of Dorims 2.0' rs 0.21'				
					15.00 Round Area= 1.2 st Perim= 3.9 r= 0.31				
0.7	200	0.0125	6 62	0 1 2	N= 0.012 Confugated PP, Smooth Interior				
0.7	290	0.0155	0.03	0.15	Fipe Channel, E 15 00" Bound Aroos 1.2 of Borims 2.0' rs 0.21'				
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PD smooth interior				
	050	Tatal							
22.9	658	rotar							

## Summary for Subcatchment 300: Subcat 300 (P7)

Runoff = 0.96 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.072 af, Depth= 0.37"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
1.315	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
0.488	98	Impervious, HSG A
0.048	98	Offsite Impervious, HSG A
0.050	98	Offsite Roofs, HSG A
0.293	98	Roofs, HSG A
0.000	98	Water Surface, 0% imp, HSG A
0.148	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
2.342	61	Weighted Average
1.464	38	62.50% Pervious Area
0.879	98	37.50% Impervious Area

Type III 24-hr WQ Storm Rainfall=1.20" Printed 1/10/2025

Prepared by DiPrete Engineering	
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125 © 2024 HydroCAD Software Set	olutions LLC

Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry,

### Summary for Subcatchment 400WQ: Subcat 400 (P5A) (WQ)

Runoff = 1.25 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume= 0.146 af, Depth= 0.15"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr WQ Storm Rainfall=1.20"

Area (a	ic) C	N Dese	cription						
7.2	55 3	9 >75 <sup>9</sup>	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A						
0.6	72 9	8 Impe	Impervious, HSG A						
0.0	98 9	8 Offs	ite Impervi	ous, HSG A	A				
0.0	00 9	8 Offs	ite Roofs, I	HSG A					
1.0	13 9	8 Root	fs, HSG A						
0.0	28 9	8 Wat	er Surface	, 0% imp, ⊦	ISG A				
2.30	<u> 63 3</u>	0 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A					
11.42	29 4	6 Weig	ghted Aver	age					
9.64	46 3	7 84.4	0% Pervio	us Area					
1.78	83 9	8 15.6	0% Imperv	vious Area					
<b>T</b> . 1	0.		V ( . 1 <sup>1</sup> )	0					
		Siope		Capacity	Description				
(min)		(π/π)		(CIS)					
18.5	100	0.0280	0.09		Sheet Flow, A				
0.0	205	0.0576	2.96		woods: Light underbrush h= 0.400 P2= 3.30				
0.9	205	0.0576	3.00		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D				
0.8	100	0 0200	8.06	9 90	Bine Channel C				
0.0	403	0.0200	0.00	9.90	15 00" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'				
					n=0.012 Corrugated PP smooth interior				
16	45	0 0913	0 46	1 61	Parabolic Channel D				
1.0	10	0.0010	0.10	1.01	W=13.00' D=0.40' Area=3.5 sf Perim=13.0'				
					n= 0.400 Sheet flow: Woods+light brush				
0.2	84	0.2080	7.34		Shallow Concentrated Flow. E				
					Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps				
1.1	507	0.0170	7.44	9.12	Pipe Channel, F				
					15.00" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'				
					n= 0.012 Corrugated PP, smooth interior				
00.4	4 050	T-4-1							

23.1 1,350 Total

### Summary for Subcatchment 500: Subcat 500 (P5)

Runoff = 0.59 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.055 af, Depth= 0.40" Routed to nonexistent node 14P

Type III 24-hr WQ Storm Rainfall=1.20" Printed 1/10/2025

Prepared by DiPrete Enginee	ering
HydroCAD® 10.20-6a s/n 01125	© 2024 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription					
0.	924	39	>75%	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A					
0.	425	98	Impe	ervious, HS	SG A				
0.	246	98	Roof	Roofs, HSG A					
0.	010	98	Wate	er Surface	, 0% imp, H	ISG A			
0.	057	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A				
1.	662	63	Weig	ghted Aver	age				
0.	991	39	59.62	2% Pervio	us Area				
0.	671	98	40.3	8% Imperv	∕ious Area				
_		_							
TC	Length		Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
11.9	100	0.	0300	0.14		Sheet Flow, A			
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.30"			
0.6	191	0.	1115	5.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B			
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps			
0.7	349	0.	0252	7.80	6.13	Pipe Channel, D			
						12.00" Round Area= 0.8 sf Perim= 3.1' r= 0.25'			
						n= 0.012 Corrugated PP, smooth interior			
13.2	640	T T	otal						

## Summary for Subcatchment 600: 600 (6G)

Runoff =	0.28 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.021 af,	Depth=	0.41"
----------	------------	------------	---------	-----------	--------	-------

Area (a	ac)	CN	Desc	ription			
0.3	11	39	>75%	6 Grass c	over, Good,	, HSG A	
0.0	39	96	Grav	el surface	, HSG A		
0.0	83	98	Impe	rvious, HS	SG A		
0.0	61	98	Offsi	te Impervi	ous, HSG A	4	
0.1	13	98	Roof	s, HSG A			
0.0	06	30	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG A		
0.6	13	67	Weig	hted Aver	age		
0.3	56	45	58.06% Pervious Area				
0.2	57	98	41.94	4% Imper	ious Area		
Та	است.			Valasiti	Conceitur	Description	
	Lengt	n N	Slope		Capacity	Description	
<u>(min)</u>	(tee	τ)	(π/π)	(tt/sec)	(CTS)		
6.0						Direct Entry,	



Project # 1193-003

Appendix D Test Hole Logs



Department of Environmental Management Office of Water Resources Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Program



Soil Category

Fill

1m

1

1

Soil Category

Fill

3

1

84"

93"

\_(og)

(og)

### Site Evaluation Form

Part A – Soil Profile Description

Application Number \_

Property O	wner:									
Property L	ocation: D	ante Blvd.	, Coventr	У						
Date of Te	st Hole: <u>1(</u>	)/04/2024								
Soil Evalua	ator: Tim	Twohig				License	Number: D-4	073		
Weather: _	Sunny, 7	'0s				Shaded:	Yes 📃 🛛 No	o√ Time:	8:00	
<b>TL</b> dth 24-1		Horizon B	oundaries	Soil (	Colors	Re-Dox				
Horizon	Depth	Dist	Торо	Matrix	Re-Dox Features	Ab. S. Contr.	Texture	Structure	Consistence	
^C	0-50"	С	S	2.5Y 5/4	-	-	gr Is	0 m	FR	
C1	50-70"	с	S	2.5Y 5/4	-	-	gr cos	0 sgr	LO	
C2	70-84"	С	S	2.5Y 6/3	-	-	s	0 sgr	LO	
C3	84-132"	-	-	2.5Y 6/2	-	-	S	0 sgr	LO	
TH dth 24-2	2	Horizon B	oundaries	Soil (	Colors	Re-Dox				
Horizon	Depth	Dist	Торо	Matrix	Re-Dox Features	Ab. S. Contr.	Texture	Structure	Consistence	
^C	0-80"	С	S	2.5Y 5/4	-	-	gr Is	0 m	FR	
Bwb	8-88"	С	S	10YR 5/4	-	-	gr Is	0 m	FR	
С	88-144"	-	-	2.5Y 6/3	7.5YR 5/8	СМР	s	0 sgr	LO	
	Soil Class	Fill/outwa	ash Total D	eoth 132"	Impervious/I	imiting Laver Depth	- (oa) GW	Seepage Denth	132" <sub>SHWT</sub>	



Department of Environmental Management Office of Water Resources Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Program



#### Site Evaluation Form

Part A – Soil Profile Description

Application Number

Property Owner:	
Property Location:	Dante Blvd., Coventry
Date of Test Hole:	10/04/2024
Soil Evaluator: Tin	n Twohig

License Number: D-4073 Weather: Sunny, 70s Shaded: Yes No ✓ Time: 8:00 **Horizon Boundaries** Soil Colors **Re-Dox** TH dth 24-3 Soil Texture Structure Consistence Depth **Re-Dox** Horizon Category Dist Торо Matrix Ab. S. Contr. **Features** ^C 0-62" С S 2.5Y 5/4 gr Is 0 m FR Fill --FR 3 Ab 62-64" С В 10YR 2/2 ls 0 m 64-73" Bwb С VFR 3 S 10YR 5/4 gr Is 1 sbk 73-85" С S 2.5Y 6/3 7.5YR 5/8 CMP LO 1m C1 cb gr s 0 sgr LO C2 2.5Y 6/3 0 sgr 85-132" \_ gr cos 1m **Horizon Boundaries** Soil Colors **Re-Dox TH** dth 24-4 Soil Texture Structure Consistence Depth **Re-Dox** Horizon Matrix S. Contr. Category Dist Ab. Торо **Features** ^C 0-116" С S 2.5Y 4/3 gr Is 0 m FR Fill --^CA С S VFR 116-126" 0 m Fill 5Y 4/1 and 10YR 2/2 si + vfs -С 126-132' 7.5YR 5/6 LO 1 cos 0 sgr \_ \_ \_ \_ dth 24-3 Soil Class \_\_\_\_\_\_ Total Depth \_\_\_\_\_ IMpervious/Limiting Layer Depth \_\_\_\_\_ (og) GW Seepage Depth \_\_\_\_\_ 132" 75" SHWT TH (oq)132" Impervious/Limiting Layer Depth \_\_\_\_\_(og) GW Seepage Depth \_\_\_\_\_ dth 24-4 Soil Class Fill/outwash Total Depth 156" 108" SHWT ΤH (og)

dth 24-4: ^CA appears to be settling basin material - dark-colored, finely textured material generally on top of the lighter colored material. Wire/metal found at 120"



Department of Environmental Management Office of Water Resources Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Program



#### Site Evaluation Form

Part A – Soil Profile Description

Application Number

Property Owner:
Property Location: Dante Blvd., Coventry
Date of Test Hole: 10/04/2024
Soil Evaluator: Tim Twohig
Suppy 70c

License Number: D-4073 Shaded: Yes No ✓ Weather: Sunny, 70s Time: 8:00 **Horizon Boundaries** Soil Colors **Re-Dox** TH dth 24-5 Soil Texture Structure Consistence Depth **Re-Dox** Horizon Category Dist Торо Matrix Ab. S. Contr. **Features** С ^B 0-15" S 2.5Y 5/4 ls 0 m FR Fill --LO ^C 15-42" С S 2.5Y 6/3 \_ \_ s 0 sgr Fill C1 42-108" G LO S 2.5Y 4/3 cb gr s 0 sgr 1m 108-156' 2.5Y 4/2 LO C2 \_ cb gr s 0 sgr 1m **TH\_**<sup>dth 24-6</sup> **Horizon Boundaries** Soil Colors **Re-Dox** Soil Texture Structure Consistence Depth **Re-Dox** Horizon Matrix S. Contr. Category Dist Ab. Торо **Features** ^C1 0-35" С S 2.5Y 5/4 0 sgr LO Fill gr s --^C2 35-63" С S LO 2.5Y 4/3 Fill st cb gr s 0 sgr \_ -^C3 63-112" С S 2.5Y 3/2 st gr Is 0 m Fill FR \_ -0 m C1 С S 2.5Y 5/2 ls FR 112-144" 3 LO C2 144-156" 7.5YR 4/4 0 sgr 1 \_ COS \_ dth 24-5 Soil Class Fill/outwash Total Depth 156" Impervious/Limiting Layer Depth (og) GW Seepage Depth SHWT 108" (og) ΤH 156" <sub>SHWT</sub> 112" \_\_\_\_\_\_ Soil Class \_\_\_\_\_\_ Total Depth \_\_\_\_\_\_ Impervious/Limiting Layer Depth \_\_\_\_\_\_ (og) GW Seepage Depth \_\_\_\_\_ ΤH (og)

Comments: dth 24-5: no seepage observed, but soil very damp at 156". No deeper due to cave-in. dth 24-6: metal wire observed at 48", netting at 70".



Department of Environmental Management Office of Water Resources Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Program



## Site Evaluation Form

Part A – Soil Profile Description

Application Number \_\_\_\_\_

H dth 24-7 Def   ^C1 0-5   ^C2 57-7   C 108-7	-57"	Horizon Bo Dist C	oundaries Topo	Soil C Matrix	olors Re-Dox	Re-l	Dox				Call
Morizon De   ^C1 0-{   ^C2 57-1   C 108-	-57" -108"	Dist C	Торо	Matrix	Re-Dox				<b>•</b> •• •		2011
^C1 0-{ ^C2 57-4 C 108-	-57" -108"	С			<b>Features</b>	Ab. S.	Contr.	Texture	Structure	Consistence	Category
^C2 57-1	-108"		S	2.5Y 6/3	-	-		gr s	0 sgr	LO	Fill
C 108-		С	S	2.5Y 5/2	-	-		gr s	0 sgr	LO	Fill
	8-132"	-	-	10YR 5/6	-	-		vcb gr s	0 sgr	LO	1m
dth 24-8		Horizon Bo	oundaries	Soil C	olors	Re-I	Dox				
Horizon De	epth	Dist	Торо	Matrix	Re-Dox Features	Ab. S.	Contr.	Texture	Structure	Consistence	Category
^C1 0-6	-64"	С	S	2.5Y 6/3	-	-		gr Is	0 m	VFR	Fill
^C2 64-	-96"	С	S	2.5Y 5/2	-	-		gr s	0 sgr	LO	Fill
C 96-´	-132"	-	-	7.5YR 5/8	-	-		gr cos	0 sgr	LO	1m



Department of Environmental Management Office of Water Resources Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Program



## Site Evaluation Form

Part A – Soil Profile Description

Application Number \_\_\_\_\_

roperty O	wner:									****		
Property Lo	ocation: <u>Da</u>	ante Blvd.	, Coventry	Y							1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
ate of Tes	st Hole: <u>10</u>	/04/2024					12	Number D. 1	070			
Soil Evaluator: Wonig							License Number: D-4073					
		Horizon B	oundaries	Soil (	olore	Do	Snaued: res NO[¥ IIme: 8:00					
TH dth 24-9 Horizon	Depth	Dist	Topo	Matrix	Re-Dox Features	Ab. S.	Contr.	Texture	Structure	Consistence	Soil Category	
^C	0-43"	С	S	2.5Y 5/4	-		_	gr Is	0 m	FR	Fill	
C1	43-101"	С	S	2.5Y 6/3	-		-	vst vcb gr s	0 sgr	LO	1m	
C2	101-126"	-	-	2.5Y 6/2	10YR 5/8	CI	= P	s	0 sgr	LO	1	
		llavinan D		Coll (		De	Dev					
TH Horizon	Depth	Dist	Topo	Son C Matrix	Re-Dox Features	Ab. S.	Contr.	Texture	Structure	Consistence	Soil Category	
. dth 24-9 .H	Soil Class	Fill/outwa	i <b>sh</b> Total D	epth126"	_ Impervious/Li	imiting Laye	er Depth	(og) GW	Seepage Depth	SHWT	(og	
Н	Soil Class		Total D	epth	_ Impervious/Li	imiting Laye	er Depth	(og) GW	Seepage Depth	SHWT	(oč	



# Appendix E.1 Watershed Maps from Catio Corporation Design





# Appendix E.2 Watershed Maps from DiPrete Engineering Layout





Appendix F Contech Jellyfish Certification and Design Summaries



Brian Giroux DiPrete Engineering Inc 2 Stafford Court Cranston, RI 02920

January 8, 2025

### RE: Highlands at Hopkins Hill (Contech Reference No. 831,512) Review of Jellyfish Filter Design

Dear Mr. Giroux:

The purpose of this letter is to document Contech Engineered Solutions' review of the plans and the proposed application of the Jellyfish water quality unit for the Highlands at Hopkins Hill site in Coventry, RI.

Contech Engineered Solutions (Contech) has reviewed the Jellyfish Filter design for the Highlands at Hopkins Hill project. We believe the Jellyfish Filter is an appropriate water quality solution for this site. The Jellyfish system is approved for use by the Rhode Island Stormwater Technology Review Committee, with certification dates as revised on August 26, 2021 and will expire on August 10, 2026.

### <u>JF5</u>

The engineer of record reports a drainage area of 0.671 acres (impervious) and a water quality flow rate of 0.59 cfs. The Jellyfish is designed in accordance with the tested hydraulic loading rate of the 40-inch cartridges at a maximum rate of 60 gpm with the inclusion of one draindown cartridge at a maximum rate of 30 gpm. To adequately treat the runoff from this area, Contech recommends a Jellyfish model JFPD0406-4-1 (40" cartridge length) with a treatment flow rate of 0.60 cfs.

### <u>JF5A</u>

The engineer of record reports a drainage area of 1.783 acres (impervious) and a water quality flow rate of 1.25 cfs. The Jellyfish is designed in accordance with the tested hydraulic loading rate of the 40-inch cartridges at a maximum rate of 60 gpm with the inclusion of one draindown cartridge at a maximum rate of 30 gpm. To adequately treat the runoff from this area, Contech recommends a Jellyfish model JFPD00806-9-2 (40" cartridge length) with a treatment flow rate of 1.34 cfs.

### <u>JF11</u>

The engineer of record reports a drainage area of 1.211 acres (impervious) and a water quality flow rate of 0.85 cfs. The Jellyfish is designed in accordance with the tested hydraulic loading rate of the 40-inch cartridges at a maximum rate of 60 gpm with the inclusion of one draindown cartridge at a maximum rate of 30 gpm. To adequately treat the runoff from this area, Contech recommends a Jellyfish model JFPD00806-6-2 (40" cartridge length) with a treatment flow rate of 0.94 cfs.

### <u>JF12</u>

The engineer of record reports a drainage area of 0.647 acres (impervious) and a water quality flow rate of 0.53 cfs. The Jellyfish is designed in accordance with the tested hydraulic loading rate of the 40-inch cartridges at a maximum rate of 60 gpm with the inclusion of one draindown cartridge at a maximum rate of 30 gpm. To adequately treat the runoff from this area, Contech recommends a Jellyfish model JFPD0406-4-1 (40" cartridge length) with a treatment flow rate of 0.60 cfs.



### <u>JF7</u>

The engineer of record reports a drainage area of 0.879 acres (impervious) and a water quality flow rate of 0.96 cfs. The Jellyfish is designed in accordance with the tested hydraulic loading rate of the 40-inch cartridges at a maximum rate of 60 gpm with the inclusion of one draindown cartridge at a maximum rate of 30 gpm. To adequately treat the runoff from this area, Contech recommends a Jellyfish model JFPD00806-7-2 (40" cartridge length) with a treatment flow rate of 1.07 cfs.

Our systems require periodic maintenance to continue operating properly. Given typical runoff pollutant loading rates, Contech recommends maintenance inspections on an annual basis. Maintenance should be performed when sediment depth reaches 12" of accumulation.

This system is expected to operate in accordance with Contech's design intent. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

(Sabrina) Zoe Maldonado Stormwater Design Engineer Contech Engineered Solutions LLC (513) 512-5523 sabrina.maldonado@conteches.com



Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC Engineer:	SZM
Date Prepared:	12/17/2024

## Site Information

Project Name	<b>Highlands at Hopkins Hill</b>
Project City	Coventry
Project State	RI
Site Designation	JF5
Total Drainage Area, Ad	<b>0.67</b> ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	<b>0.67</b> ac
Pervious Area, Ap	<b>0.00</b> ac
% Impervious	100%
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.95
Mass Loading Calculations	
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	<b>46</b> in
Agency Required % Removal	80%
Percent Runoff Capture	90%
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	<b>95,797</b> ft <sup>3</sup>
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	70 mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	<b>418</b> lbs
Filter System	

Filtration Brand	Jellyfish
Cartridge Length	<b>40</b> in

## **Jellyfish Sizing**

Water Quality Flow

## Method to Use

## **FLOW BASED**

0.59 cfs

		Summary
	Treatment Flow Rate	0.60 cfs
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0406-4-1
	Mass Capture provided	418 lbs





Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC Engineer:	SZM
Date Prepared:	12/17/2024

## Site Information

Project Name	<b>Highlands at Hopkins Hill</b>
Project City	Coventry
Project State	RI
Site Designation	JF5A
Total Drainage Area, Ad	<b>1.78</b> ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	<b>1.78</b> ac
Pervious Area, Ap	<b>0.00</b> ac
% Impervious	100%
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.95
Mass Loading Calculations	
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	<b>46</b> in
Agency Required % Removal	80%
Percent Runoff Capture	90%
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	<b>254,555</b> ft <sup>3</sup>
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	70 mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	1,112 lbs
Filter System	

Filtration Brand	Jellyfish
Cartridge Length	<b>40</b> in

## Jellyfish Sizing

Water Quality Flow

## Method to Use

## **FLOW BASED**

1.25 cfs

		Summary
	Treatment Flow Rate	1.34 cfs
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0806-9-2
	Mass Capture provided	929 lbs




Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC Engineer	: SZM
Date Prepared:	12/17/2024
Site Information	
Project Name	Highlands at Honkins

Project Name	Highlands at Hopkins Hill
Project City	Coventry
Project State	RI
Site Designation	JF11
Total Drainage Area, Ad	<b>1.21</b> ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	<b>1.21</b> ac
Pervious Area, Ap	<b>0.00</b> ac
% Impervious	100%
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.95
Mass Loading Calculations	
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	<b>46</b> in
Agency Required % Removal	80%
Percent Runoff Capture	90%
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	<b>172,892</b> ft <sup>3</sup>
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	70 mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	<b>755</b> lbs
Filter System	
Filtration Brand	Jellyfish

Cartridge Length	

## Jellyfish Sizing

Water Quality Flow

### Method to Use

## **FLOW BASED**

**40** in

0.85 cfs

		Summary
	Treatment Flow Rate	0.94 cfs
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0806-6-2
	Mass Capture provided	650 lbs





Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC Engineer:	SZM
Date Prepared:	12/17/2024

# Site Information

Project Name	Highlands at Hopkins Hill
Project City	Coventry
Project State	RI
Site Designation	JF12
Total Drainage Area, Ad	<b>0.65</b> ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	<b>0.65</b> ac
Pervious Area, Ap	<b>0.00</b> ac
% Impervious	100%
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.95
Mass Loading Calculations	
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	<b>46</b> in
Agency Required % Removal	80%
Percent Runoff Capture	90%
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	<b>92,371</b> ft <sup>3</sup>
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	<mark>70</mark> mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	<b>403</b> lbs
Filter System	

<b>J</b> =	
Filtration Brand	Jellyfish
Cartridge Length	<b>40</b> in

## **Jellyfish Sizing**

Water Quality Flow

### Method to Use

### **FLOW BASED**

0.53 cfs

		Summary
	Treatment Flow Rate	0.60 cfs
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0406-4-1
	Mass Capture provided	418 lbs





Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC Engineer: Date Prepared:	SZM 1/8/2025	
Site Information		
Project Name	Highlands at Hop	kins Hill
Project City	Coventry	
Project State	RI	
Site Designation	JF7	
Total Drainage Area, Ad	0.88	ac
Post Development Impervious Area, Ai	0.88	ac
Pervious Area, Ap	0.00	ac
% Impervious	100%	
Runoff Coefficient, Rc	0.95	
Mass Loading Calculations		
Mean Annual Rainfall, P	46	in
Agency Required % Removal	80%	
Percent Runoff Capture	90%	
Mean Annual Runoff, Vt	125,628	ft <sup>3</sup>
Event Mean Concentration of Pollutant, EMC	70	mg/l
Annual Mass Load, M total	549	lbs
Filter System		
Filtration Brand	Jellyfish	
Cartridge Length	40	in
Jellyfish Sizing		
Water Quality Flow	0.96	cfs
Method to Use	FLOW BASED	

Summary		
	Treatment Flow Rate	1.07 cfs
Flow	Required Size	JFPD0806-7-2
	Mass Capture provided	743 lbs

